

ISSN 2319 - 359X
AN INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY
HALF YEARLY RESEARCH JOURNAL

IDEAL

Single Blind Review / Double Blind Review

Volume - XIV | Issue - II | March - August - 2026

ENGLISH PART - I / II



ज्ञान-विज्ञान विमुक्तये

Peer Reviewed Refereed and
UGC Listed Journal No. 47026

Impact Factor / Indexing

2026 - 8.246

www.sjifactor.com

→ EDITOR ←

Dr. Vinay Shankarrao Hatole

Assistant Professor, International Center of Excellence in Engineering
& Management (ICEEM) College, Waluj, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar. (MS)

→ PUBLISHED BY ←



AJANTA PRAKASHAN

Jaisingpura, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar. (MS)

The information and views expressed and the research content published in this journal, the sole responsibility lies entirely with the author(s) and does not reflect the official opinion of the Editorial Board, Advisory Committee and the Editor in Chief of the Journal “**IDEAL**”. Owner, printer & publisher Vinay S. Hatole has printed this journal at Ajanta Computer and Printers, Jaisingpura, University Gate, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar (Aurangabad), also Published the same at Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar (Aurangabad)

Printed by

Ajanta Computer,
Near University Gate, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar (Aurangabad),
Maharashtra, India

Published by

Ajanta Prakashan,
Near University Gate, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar (Aurangabad),
Maharashtra, India
Cell No. : 9579260877, 9822620877
E-mail : ajanta2026@gmail.com, www.ajantaprakashan.in

IDEAL- ISSN 2319-359X - Impact Factor - 8.246 (www.sjifactor.com)



EDITORIAL BOARD



Mehryar Adibpour
Faculty of Computing London
Metropolitan University,
Holloway Road, London.

Dr. Ashaf Fetoh Eata
College of Art's and Science,
Salmau Bin Abdul Aziz University. KAS

Dr. Altaf Husain Pandi
Dept. of Chemistry University
of Kashmir, Kashmir, India.

Dr. Ramdas S. Wanare
Associate Professor & Head Accounts & Applied Stat,
Vivekanand Art's Sardar Dalip Sing Commerce
& Science College Samarth Nagar, Aurangabad (M.S.)

Dr Harish Kumar
Assistant Professor Department of History,
Mangalayatan University, Extended,
Ncr 33rd Milestone, Mathura - Beswan
Aligarh (U.P.) 202146.

Dr. P. A. Koli
Professor & Head (Retd),
Dept. of Economics, Shivaji University,
Kolhapur - (M.S.) India.

Dr. Rana Pratap Singh
Professor & Dean School for Environment Science,
Dr. Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University
of Raebareilly, Lucknow- India.

Dr. Joyanta Barbora
Head Dept. of Sociology University of
Dibrugarh- India.

Dr. Jagdish R. Baheti
H.O.D., SNJB College of Pharmacy,
Neminagar, Chandwad, Nashik (M.S.) - India.

Prof. P. N. Gajjar
Head, Dept. of Physics,
University of School of Sciences,
Gujarat University, Ahmedabad- India.

Dr. Binoy Musahary
Department of Political Science,
Nalbari College, Nalbari Assam, P. O. Bidyapur.

Dr. Prashant M. Dolia
Dept. of Computer Science and Applications,
Bhavnagar University, India.

Dr. S. Jeyakumar
Assistant Professor, PG & Research Department of
Commerce, P. M. T. College, Melaneelithanallur,
Sankarankovil Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu.

Dr. P. S. Buvaneswari
Asst. Professor of Commerce,
University of Madras Chepauk, Chennai-05.

Dr. (CA) Subrahmanya Bhat K. M.
Principal, Department of Commerce,
Swami Vivekananda College, Borim Ponda, Goa.

Dr. G. B. Lamb
Associate Professor & Head,
Department of Mathematics
Baliram Patil College, Kinwat, Dist.Nanded. (M.S.)



EDITORIAL BOARD

**Dr. Priyanka A. Masatkar**

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Botany,
Ghulam Nabi Azad Arts Commerce and
Science College, Barshitakli, Akola, Maharashtra.

Dr. Dhirajkumar S. Kotme

Head, Department of Marathi,
Jaikranti Airts & Com. Sr. College,
Latur. (Maharashtra)

Dr. Harish Kumar

Associate Professor, Noida College of Physical
Education, Doom Manikpur Dadri. (U.P)

Dr. Veena Thawre Chourase

Assistant Professor, Department of Economics,
Dr. Harisingh Gour Central University,
Sagar, Madhya Pradesh.



PUBLISHED BY

**Ajanta Prakashan**

Jaisingpura, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar. (MS)

IV



**CONTENTS OF ENGLISH PART - I**

S. No.	Title & Author	Page No.
1	Female Desire in the Works of Sally Rooney and Avni Doshi Ms. Sandhya Gulabrao More	1-7
2	Transition Metal Complexes in Catalysis: Design, Synthesis and Reactivity Studies Yogeshwari Sudarshan Munde	8-17
3	Exploring the Relationship between Numbers and Shapes Vivekanand Gowardhan Lamb	18-26
4	Investment Behaviour of Retail Investor Ms. Nirnaya Niraj Khobragade	27-32
5	Eco-Feminism and Sustainable Development: A Case Study of the 111 Trees Initiative and Emographic Transformation in Piplantri, Rajasthan Dr. Kapil Vandana Anadpind Rajahans	33-37
6	Integration of Skill-Based Education in Curriculum: Bridging the Gap between Academia and Industry Requirements Gauravkumar Hasanrao Ubarhande	38-44
7	Green Chemistry: Principles, Applications and Future Perspectives in Sustainable Chemical Synthesis Yogesh S. Ghute	45-49
8	Economy of Eco Tourism in Select Destinations of Assam Dr. Abhilasha Mazumdar	50-62
9	Rethinking the Educator's Role: From Knowledge Transmission to Learning Facilitation Dr. Bindu Sharma	63-69
10	Religion, Equality, and Social Justice: A Study of Mahatma Phule's Concept of Satyadharma Dr. Janardan Krishna Kamble	70-76
11	Human Trafficking in India: A Grim Reality—A Critical Study of Current Laws and Legislative Lacunas Dr. Nuzhat Afroz Shaikh	77- 82
12	A Study of the Legal Liability of Artificial Intelligence (AI) Dr. Santosh Meena	83-89



CONTENTS OF ENGLISH PART - I



S. No.	Title & Author	Page No.
13	NEP 2020: Revamping ECCE Curriculum & Teacher's Role Dr. Maninder Kaur	90-96
14	Theatre beyond Entertainment: A Journey toward Spiritual Awakening Mr. Gurnam	97-102

CONTENTS OF ENGLISH PART - II

S. No.	Title & Author	Page No.
1	Language Laboratories as a Tool for Effective ELT Dr. Dibakar Maut	1-10
2	A Brief Study of Vladimir Propp's <i>Morphology of the Folktale</i> Dr. Somuse Anant Janardhan	11-14
3	Poverty: Causes, Consequences and Solutions Prof. Aparna V. Kalyankar	15-19
4	Historical Perspectives of Land Acquisition in India Dr. Yogesh Ashok Mahajan Kiran Ranganath Kale	20-24
5	Role of Government Schools in Improving Elementary Education in Rajasthan after the Right to Education Act, 2009: An Analytical Study Anu Jangir Prof. (Dr.) Mahendra Tiwari	25-31
6	A Comparison of Regional Disparities in Human Development in Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar and Hingoli Districts Shaikh Matin Shaikh Husen Pro. Radheshyam Kisanrao Raut	32-39
7	From Modernism to Postmodernism: A Paradigm Shift in Literary Discourse Dr. Narsingh Pimparne	40-44
8	Globalization and Knowledge Sharing Prof. Dr. Sonawane Rajaram Ramdas	45-51
9	Podcasting as a New Journalism Platform: Opportunities, Challenges and Future Prospects Dr. Rahul Taur	52-54
10	Analytical Study on Digital and Financial Literacy of Rural India Dr. Dnyaneshwar Anandrao Pupalwad Dr. Balaji Subhasharo Turaikar	55-59
11	Social Evils as Obstacles of Women Empowerment: A Study of Socio-Economic Conditions of Women in Maharashtra Dr. Baliram Pawar	60-65

CONTENTS OF ENGLISH PART - II

S. No.	Title & Author	Page No.
12	Decoding the Modern Hacker Mindset: A Psychological and Technological Perspective on Cyber Threats Dr. Vilas More Manisha Gadekar	66-72
13	Manipure International University & Centre for Academic Research (Care), Education Wing (R.E.R.F), Shantivan, Aburaj (Raj.) B. K. Gunja Gupta	73-78
14	A Study of Small Scale Entrepreneurs & Women Empowerment Dr. Vaishali Dinkar Kanawade	79-85
15	India's Act East Policy vs China's Expansionism: A Study of India–China Relations Priyanka V. Thakare	86-92
16	Role of AI in Bridging the Digital Divide in Rural Areas: A Study of Zilla Parishad Schools in Maharashtra Pradeep Rameshrao Ghateshahi	93-102
17	Impact of Drought on Nutritional Status of Children (0–6 Years) in Marathwada Region, India Priyanka M. Shejwal Rajkumar H. Mhaske	103-112
18	Detention without Conviction: A Socio-Legal Study of Prolonged Pre-Trial Detention in India Asst. Prof. Vaishnavi Nirmal	113-118

18. Detention without Conviction: A Socio-Legal Study of Prolonged Pre-Trial Detention in India

Asst. Prof. Vaishnavi Nirmal

Department of Law, Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth- Pune.

Introduction

The presumption of innocence is a foundational principle of criminal jurisprudence. However, in practice, this principle is frequently compromised in India due to the extensive use of pre-trial detention. Thousands of individuals remain incarcerated for prolonged periods without conviction, often exceeding the likely sentence for the alleged offence. This situation reflects systemic dysfunction within the criminal justice system, including judicial backlog, investigative delays, and inadequate access to legal representation. Prolonged pre-trial detention transforms preventive custody into punitive incarceration, thereby eroding public confidence in the justice system. A socio-legal examination reveals that the issue is not purely legal but deeply intertwined with socio-economic disparities, where marginalized individuals disproportionately bear the burden of delayed justice.

Statistical Overview of Pre-Trial Detention in India

The scale of **prolonged pre-trial detention in India** demonstrates that it is not an exception but the **dominant form of incarceration**. According to **NCRB Prison Statistics (2022)**, out of approximately **5.73 lakh prisoners**, about **4.34 lakh (75.8%) are undertrial prisoners**, and recent estimates show this proportion remains consistently high at **73%–76%**, indicating a **systemic issue**.

The problem is aggravated by the **duration of detention**, with many undertrials incarcerated for **over one year**, and around **8–9% detained for more than three years without conviction**. In several cases, detention exceeds the **maximum possible sentence**, effectively turning **pre-trial detention into punitive incarceration**.

This trend contributes directly to **prison overcrowding**, with occupancy rates reaching **120%–130%**, and undertrials forming the **majority of inmates**. Consequently, prison conditions deteriorate, undermining **human dignity** and basic **health standards**.

A socio-economic perspective reveals significant **structural inequality**, as a disproportionate number of undertrial prisoners belong to **marginalized communities** and possess **low educational levels**, limiting their access to **legal awareness** and support. Additionally, a large proportion falls within the **18–30 years age group**, impacting the **economically productive population**.

These statistics collectively highlight that **prolonged pre-trial detention** is rooted in **systemic inefficiencies**, **judicial delays**, and **socio-economic disparities**, underscoring the urgent need for **structural reforms** to prevent detention from functioning as **punishment without conviction**.

Concept and Legal Framework

Pre-trial detention refers to the custody of an accused person during the **investigation or trial stage**, prior to a formal determination of guilt. In India, it is primarily governed by the **Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (CrPC)**, which lays down the procedural safeguards intended to balance **individual liberty** with the interests of **criminal justice administration**. Under **Section 167 CrPC**, a magistrate is empowered to authorize detention during investigation, subject to statutory time limits. The provisions relating to **bail** are contained in **Section 436**, which mandates bail in **bailable offences**, and **Sections 437 and 439**, which regulate the grant of bail in **non-bailable offences**. Additionally, **Section 436A CrPC** provides a critical safeguard by mandating the **release of undertrial prisoners** who have undergone detention for **half of the maximum prescribed sentence** for the alleged offence.

In recent years, the legal framework has evolved further with the introduction of the **Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023**, which replaces the CrPC and seeks to modernize criminal procedure in India. The BNSS retains core principles relating to **arrest, detention, and bail**, while introducing reforms aimed at improving **efficiency, transparency, and accountability**. It emphasizes **time-bound investigation**, incorporation of **technology in criminal proceedings**, and attempts to streamline procedures to reduce delays. However, while the statutory language reflects a progressive shift, concerns remain regarding its **implementation capacity** and whether it will effectively reduce **prolonged pre-trial detention** in practice.

Further, judicial interventions particularly in cases such as *Satender Kumar Antil v. CBI* have led to the formulation of **structured bail guidelines**, reinforcing the principle that “**bail is the rule and jail is the exception**.” Policy-level mechanisms such as **Undertrial Review**

Committees (UTRCs) have also been institutionalized to periodically review cases of **long-term undertrial prisoners** and facilitate their release where appropriate.

Despite these statutory provisions and recent reforms, the gap between **legal safeguards** and **actual practice** remains significant. Procedural delays, inconsistent application of bail principles, and administrative inefficiencies continue to result in **extended and often unjustified detention**, thereby undermining the very purpose of the legal framework.

Causes of Prolonged Pre-Trial Detention

Prolonged pre-trial detention in India is the result of multiple interrelated **structural and systemic deficiencies** within the **criminal justice system**. One of the primary causes is the persistent **backlog of cases** across all levels of the **judiciary**, which significantly delays **trial proceedings**. The shortage of **judicial appointments**, coupled with **inadequate judicial infrastructure**, further aggravates the problem, leading to extended periods of **incarceration without adjudication**. In addition to judicial delays, **investigative inefficiencies** play a crucial role. Delayed filing of **charge sheets** and incomplete or poorly conducted **investigations** often result in continued detention under **Section 167 of the Code of Criminal Procedure**.

Another significant contributing factor is the **restrictive nature of bail practices**. Although the legal framework recognizes that “**bail is the rule and jail is the exception**,” courts frequently impose **stringent conditions**, particularly **monetary sureties**, which **economically disadvantaged individuals** are unable to satisfy. This effectively transforms **pre-trial detention into punitive detention** based on **financial incapacity** rather than **legal necessity**. Compounding this issue is the **inadequacy of legal aid mechanisms**. Many **undertrial prisoners** lack access to **competent legal representation**, resulting in delays in filing **bail applications** and inadequate defence during the **pre-trial stages**.

Furthermore, **socio-economic inequality** remains a fundamental underlying cause. Individuals from **marginalized communities** often lack **legal awareness, financial resources**, and access to **institutional support systems**. This systemic disadvantage increases their vulnerability to **prolonged detention**, as they are less equipped to navigate the **complexities of the legal process**. Collectively, these factors demonstrate that **prolonged pre-trial detention** is not merely a **procedural irregularity**, but a manifestation of deeper **structural inequities** and **institutional inefficiencies** within the justice system.

Key Judicial Decisions

The Indian judiciary has played a pivotal role in shaping the legal framework governing **pre-trial detention** through a series of landmark decisions. In *Hussainara Khatoon v. State of Bihar*, the Supreme Court firmly established the **right to a speedy trial** as an integral component of **Article 21**, bringing national attention to the plight of undertrial prisoners. This constitutional interpretation was further strengthened in *Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India*, where the Court introduced the doctrine that any procedure affecting **personal liberty** must be **just, fair, and reasonable**. In *Sunil Batra v. Delhi Administration*, the Court affirmed that **prisoners retain fundamental rights**, thereby reinforcing the principle of **human dignity within incarceration**.

Subsequent decisions such as *Kadra Pahadiya v. State of Bihar* addressed the issue of **prolonged detention**, particularly concerning vulnerable groups, while *Sheela Barse v. State of Maharashtra* emphasized the importance of **legal aid** and protection against **custodial abuse**. In *Supreme Court Legal Aid Committee v. Union of India*, the Court ordered the **release of undertrial prisoners** subjected to excessive detention, recognizing such incarceration as a violation of **fundamental rights**. Similarly, *Shaheen Welfare Association v. Union of India* curtailed **unjustified prolonged detention**, even in cases involving serious offences.

More recent jurisprudence has continued to reinforce these principles. In *Dataram Singh v. State of Uttar Pradesh*, the Court reiterated that “**bail is the rule and jail is the exception**,” thereby strengthening **bail jurisprudence**. The decision in *Arnab Manoranjan Goswami v. State of Maharashtra* underscored the urgent need to protect **personal liberty**, particularly through timely judicial intervention in bail matters. Most notably, *Satender Kumar Antil v. Central Bureau of Investigation* laid down **comprehensive guidelines on arrest and bail**, aiming to reduce **unnecessary detention** and address **prison overcrowding**.

Despite these progressive judicial interventions, **inconsistent implementation**, administrative inertia, and structural inefficiencies continue to undermine their practical impact within the criminal justice system.

Challenges in Implementation

Despite the existence of a robust **legal framework** and progressive **judicial pronouncements**, the effective implementation of safeguards against **prolonged pre-trial detention** remains significantly constrained. A major challenge lies in the persistent **gap between law and practice**, where statutory provisions and constitutional guarantees fail to

translate into ground-level realities. This disconnect is further exacerbated by widespread **institutional inefficiencies**, including administrative delays, poor coordination among criminal justice agencies, and inadequate infrastructure.

Another critical concern is the **lack of accountability mechanisms**, which allows procedural lapses and delays to continue without meaningful consequences for responsible authorities. Additionally, there is **limited legal awareness among undertrial prisoners**, many of whom remain unaware of their rights, available remedies, and statutory protections such as **bail provisions** and **Section 436A of the Code of Criminal Procedure**. Collectively, these factors create systemic barriers that hinder the **effective enforcement of legal safeguards**, thereby perpetuating the cycle of **unjustified and prolonged detention** within the criminal justice system.

Recommendations

Addressing **prolonged pre-trial detention in India** requires a **multi-dimensional reform approach** targeting structural inefficiencies within the criminal justice system. A primary reform area is the **bail system**, which must move away from excessive reliance on **monetary sureties** and instead promote **non-monetary bail options** such as **personal bonds**, while ensuring that courts consider the **socio-economic background** of the accused.

Simultaneously, there is an urgent need to enhance **judicial capacity and infrastructure** through the appointment of more judges and improved case management, thereby reducing **case backlog** and ensuring **timely trials**. Strengthening the **legal aid system** is equally critical to guarantee access to **competent legal representation**, particularly for **economically weaker sections**.

Further, ensuring **time-bound investigations** and strict enforcement of procedural timelines can prevent unnecessary detention during the pre-trial stage. The integration of **technology**, including **digital case tracking** and **virtual hearings**, can significantly improve efficiency and reduce delays. Additionally, the introduction of **periodic judicial review of detention**, supported by mechanisms such as **Undertrial Review Committees**, is essential to prevent arbitrary incarceration.

Finally, greater emphasis must be placed on **alternatives to detention**, including **community supervision**, **house arrest**, and other **non-custodial measures**, ensuring that detention remains a **measure of last resort**. Collectively, these reforms would align criminal procedure with **constitutional principles**, reduce **prison overcrowding**, and uphold the fundamental right to **personal liberty**.

Conclusion

Prolonged pre-trial detention in India constitutes a grave violation of **constitutional rights** and **human dignity**, fundamentally undermining the principles of **presumption of innocence** and **fair trial**. Although a comprehensive **legal framework** and progressive **judicial safeguards** exist, their **ineffective implementation** continues to perpetuate unjust and excessive detention. This disconnect highlights deeper issues of **institutional inefficiency**, **procedural delays**, and **socio-economic disparities** within the criminal justice system.

Addressing this problem requires a **multi-dimensional reform strategy** that integrates **legal reform**, **institutional strengthening**, and enhanced **legal awareness** among undertrial prisoners. It is imperative to ensure that **pre-trial detention remains an exception rather than the norm**, and is used strictly in accordance with **principles of necessity and proportionality**. Ultimately, preventing detention from becoming a form of **punishment without conviction** is essential for safeguarding the **rule of law**, protecting **personal liberty**, and maintaining **public confidence** in the justice delivery system.

References

- Government of India. (2022). *Prison statistics India 2021*. National Crime Records Bureau. <https://ncrb.gov.in>
- Jain, M. P. (2016). *Indian constitutional law* (7th ed.). LexisNexis.
- Law Commission of India. (2014). *Report No. 268: Amendments to criminal procedure code*. Government of India.
- National Legal Services Authority. (2020). *Legal aid and undertrial review mechanisms*. <https://nalsa.gov.in>
- Narayan, U. (2019). Pre-trial detention and human rights in India. *Journal of Criminal Law and Justice*, 12(2), 45–67.
- Singh, A. (2020). Undertrial prisoners and the crisis of justice delivery. *Indian Law Review*, 5(1), 78–102. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24730580.2020.1716565>
- Supreme Court Legal Services Committee. (2018). *Guidelines on bail and undertrial detention*.
- United Nations. (2015). *United Nations standard minimum rules for the treatment of prisoners (the Nelson Mandela Rules)*. <https://www.un.org>
- Verma, S. (2018). Bail jurisprudence in India: A critical analysis. *Journal of Legal Studies*, 10(3), 112–130.