

SYNERGIZING KNOWLEDGE

THE ROLE OF ACADEMIA IN GLOBAL PROGRESS



DR. GEETALI TILAK
PROF. UMA S. SINGH
DR. C. SUNANDA YADAV

- 19 **A STUDY OF ADJUSTMENT OF HIGH SCHOOL TEACHERS OF JAIPUR** 186 – 193

Ms. Prabhjyot Singh

- 20 **ARTS AND HUMANITIES IN THE POST PANDEMIC ERA: TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCES AND TRENDS IN THE FIELDS OF EDUCATION AND RESEARCH** 194 – 203

Dr. Ambarish V. Khare

- 21 **EMPOWERING WOMEN THROUGH POLITICAL EDUCATION: THE ROLE OF ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS IN INDIA** 204 – 213

Vaishali Singh, Dr. Nandini Sahay and Dr. Tripti Chowdhury

- 22 **BRIDGING LOCAL REALITIES AND GLOBAL GOALS: THE ROLE OF ECONOMIC ACADEMIA IN SYNERGIZING KNOWLEDGE SYSTEMS** 214 – 227

Rohan Bavadekar

- 23 **CLIMATE CHANGE NARRATIVES IN ACADEMIA: BRIDGING SCIENCE AND HUMANITIES** 228 – 237

Ayush Gaur

- 24 **WHAT MIDDLE SCHOOL TEACHERS THINK ABOUT THE PEDAGOGY OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE** 238 – 243

Dr Nidhi Kunwar

BRIDGING LOCAL REALITIES AND GLOBAL GOALS: THE ROLE OF ECONOMIC ACADEMIA IN SYNERGIZING KNOWLEDGE SYSTEMS

Rohan Bavadekar

Assistant Professor, Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth's Lokmanya Tilak
Law College, Pune

ABSTRACT

Economic academia is emerging as a vital conduit between local realities and global aspirations, holding the potential to drive sustainable national development through innovation, entrepreneurship, and industry engagement. Universities are no longer just centers of theoretical knowledge; they are becoming entrepreneurial ecosystems, shaping regional economies and fostering collaboration among students, faculty, industry, and communities. A modern economics curriculum must go beyond rote learning to integrate real-world applications, regional economic understanding, and interdisciplinary learning. Action-oriented pedagogy, entrepreneurship education, and industrial training for faculty are essential to bridge the academic-industry gap and enhance employability. Furthermore, embedding research into the curriculum not only enriches student learning but also ensures that academic work remains relevant to evolving local and global challenges. However, to realize this vision, universities must invest in faculty development, prioritize inclusive teaching, and incentivize real-world engagement. The balance between research, teaching, and community service must be re-evaluated, ensuring that academic contributions remain student-centric and socially impactful. For economic academia to contribute effectively to nation-building and global problem-solving, it must foster a culture of continuous learning, collaboration, and practical innovation—anchored in local realities but oriented towards global progress.

Keywords: *Economic Academia, Entrepreneurial Universities, Curriculum Innovation, Industry-Academia Collaboration, Local Economic Development, Action-Oriented Pedagogy*

Economic academia stands as a critical intermediary in the intricate dance between local realities and global aspirations, holding the potential to bridge the gap between theoretical frameworks and practical implementation (Mpofu et al., 2024). Universities are seen as key drivers in the knowledge economy, encouraged to forge alliances with industry and business through new venture partnerships (Olssen & Peters, 2005). They play a pivotal role in shaping regional entrepreneurial ecosystems, enhancing the innovative capacity and contributing to regional development processes (Miller et al., 2018; Nowakowska, 2021). By fostering collaboration among retailers, citizens, and local governments, universities can drive structural transformation within communities and by actively encouraging a culture of innovation, universities can influence the change needed to improve those communities. The integration of regional economic realities into the curriculum, research initiatives, and outreach programs is vital for ensuring that economic

theories and models resonate with the specific challenges and opportunities faced by local communities (Ivanova et al., 2021). Grounded, localized learning ensures that economic theories resonate with the everyday lived experiences of communities, and that students and researchers alike are trained not just as abstract thinkers, but as problem-solvers rooted in their social and ecological context.

Economic academia's capacity to serve as a bridge hinges on its ability to cultivate a symbiotic relationship with local communities, industries, and governments. Entrepreneurship skills among university students are key to influencing the economic development of local communities, and have become an essential engine for economic growth and job creation around the world (Bardales-Cárdenas et al., 2024). The capacity of universities to take on the role of an entrepreneurial university is contingent on a number of factors including their commitment to academic excellence and engaging with the community and industry to drive economic development (Iwara, 2025; Nelles & Vorley, 2010). Incubators can offer connections to local business communities, thus integrating themselves into the local economic realities. Furthermore, economic academia can offer opportunities to engage with the community and contribute to the development of projects aimed at community growth. Universities are key players in developing entrepreneurial mindsets among students and within their communities, and are viewed as agents for driving the national agenda.

The cultivation of entrepreneurial skills within economic academia is essential for translating theoretical knowledge into practical solutions that address local economic challenges (Dániel & Porkoláb, 2021). By developing and harnessing intellectual property and creating human capital, universities contribute to economic growth (Bomani et al., 2021). Universities' commitment to entrepreneurship education equips graduates with the skills to manage projects and to become entrepreneurs (Rasmussen & Sørheim, 2005). Universities can act as entrepreneurial ecosystems, where training, learning and new venture creation are integrated. Academic institutions that actively promote entrepreneurship can successfully create entrepreneurial knowledge, skills and competencies, and new ventures that lead to job creation (Clark et al., 2020). This can be achieved through incorporating action-oriented attention that focuses on practical learning activities and responsibility towards professional career growth (Daddi et al., 2020). Entrepreneurship education needs to focus on the development of important skills, such as problem solving and critical thinking, for translating knowledge into solutions. Action-oriented attention can take the form of self-learning, real-life experiences and motivation (Daddi et al., 2020).

However, for economic academia to effectively bridge local realities and global goals, it must overcome several challenges and embrace new approaches. Universities need to invest in the development of robust technology transfer offices that facilitate the commercialization of research findings and the creation of new ventures (Lyken-Segosebe et al., 2020). Universities also need to create incubators to support student and recent alumni startups and foster new industries through industry partnerships

(Duruflé et al., 2018). This requires a shift away from traditional academic silos towards interdisciplinary collaboration and engagement with external stakeholders. Universities need to offer faculty incentives to encourage them to participate in economic development activities and commercialize their research findings. The creation of university-based research parks and innovation hubs can provide physical spaces for collaboration between academics, entrepreneurs, and industry partners.

By embracing these approaches, economic academia can transcend its traditional role as a detached observer and become an active participant in shaping a more equitable, sustainable, and prosperous future for all by translating global goals into actionable local strategies, economic academia can empower communities to thrive in an increasingly interconnected world. Ultimately, the success of this endeavor hinges on the ability of economic academia to foster a culture of collaboration, innovation, and social responsibility, thereby creating a virtuous cycle of knowledge creation, economic development, and social progress (Schieb-Bienfait, 2004). one of the important steps can be taken about economic academia is to connect economics faculty with industrial training. Also, the university-industry collaboration can create an increase in the research and development sector. Moreover, the university can also arrange industrial visits and guest lectures, which will help the students understand real-world scenarios.

It is recommended that efforts should be put for the students to become bridge the employment gap of the market. but if the faculties or the instructors don't know about those technologies and new stuff it is not possible for the student to understand the stuff. So, if the teachers engage with real-world projects and the current market trends then the student will be benefited.

The commercialization of research findings represents a critical pathway for translating academic knowledge into tangible economic and social benefits (Bryndin, 2021). Another approach can be followed specially in Indian academia that the faculties or the professors engage in industrial consultancy which will help the teachers to learn about the current market trends and new technologies (Liew et al., 2012).

Role of curriculum in integration of global academia

Academic institutions play a pivotal role in shaping the future landscape of economic development through curriculum design and implementation. To achieve this synergy, curricula must evolve to incorporate interdisciplinary approaches that bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application (Tolstykh et al., 2021). This involves integrating real-world case studies, simulations, and experiential learning opportunities that expose students to the complexities of local economies and global markets (Rolón et al., 2023). By doing so, universities can cultivate a new generation of economists equipped to navigate the challenges and opportunities of an interconnected world.

Curriculum design should also prioritize the development of critical thinking, problem-solving, and communication skills, which are essential for effective engagement with diverse stakeholders. Furthermore, curricula must be regularly updated to reflect the evolving needs of industries and the latest advances in economic thought (Ayofe & Ajetola, 2009). By fostering a culture of continuous learning and adaptation, universities can ensure that their graduates remain competitive and relevant in the global marketplace. But to attain that incorporating action-oriented attention that focuses on practical learning activities and responsibility towards professional career growth. so how it can be done? it is important for higher education to change pedagogical approaches from fact-based traditional lecturing to interactive teaching with the aim of fostering deep understanding and expert-mindedness for problem-solving.

Integrating research into the curriculum offers another powerful mechanism for synergizing local realities and global goals. By involving students in research projects that address real-world challenges, universities can foster a deeper understanding of the interconnectedness between local economies and global markets. This approach not only enhances students' analytical and problem-solving skills but also instills a sense of social responsibility and encourages them to apply their knowledge for the betterment of society.

Moreover, integrating research into the curriculum can facilitate the translation of academic knowledge into practical solutions that benefit local communities and contribute to global sustainability. Research is only one of the important responsibilities performed by faculty, and that this task should reinforce teaching, and be of service to the community. In my personal opinion, professors must update their knowledge through scholarly research to deliver the most advanced information to their students, so research is very important for professors. The integration of teaching and research is becoming a key issue in higher education, not only in order to differentiate the character of universities from other teaching and learning institutions, but also in order to find ways to create the kind of knowledge needed in a world characterized by a turbulent environment and increasing change in daily life (Salleh et al., 2011). But another important aspect which i find addressable is professors has to focus upon teaching and student character building rather than using their energy in research.

Therefore, faculty development programs play a crucial role in equipping educators with the skills and knowledge necessary to implement innovative pedagogical approaches and integrate research into the curriculum (Chakraborty & Biswas, 2019). but to integrate research based teaching, it should not be compelling for teachers but a motivational approach may do better for the education sector. If the high level councils look after the research rather than application of it in teaching method, it would result into less impactful curriculum and lead towards compliance oriented universities. Professors need to refer research to update their knowledge for transfer the most advanced knowledge to the students (Chakraborty & Biswas, 2019). but if

teachers involved too much in research rather than teaching method development, it won't transfer better knowledge to students. The teaching staff has the important role of promoting understanding and tolerance, therefore, the responsibility placed on the shoulders of professors is enormous, as they are among those involved in shaping the character of new generations (Nicoleta, 2013). A good development and evaluation system measures educators on the practices that, over time, produce desirable student outcomes, and provides educators the opportunity to hone effective practices. Essentially, teaching in higher education draws on knowledge of three areas, namely knowledge about one's discipline, generic principles and ideas about teaching and learning. This is hardly surprising as professional knowledge and understanding have been regarded as an essential component for academics.

Role of leadership in integration of global academia

Effective leadership within academic institutions is paramount for fostering an environment conducive to knowledge synergy and the integration of local realities with global goals (Kasalak et al., 2022). Leaders must champion a shared vision that prioritizes interdisciplinary collaboration, innovation, and social responsibility. They also need to create structures and processes that facilitate the exchange of ideas, resources, and expertise across different departments and disciplines.

Furthermore, leaders should actively promote partnerships with industry, government, and civil society organizations to ensure that academic research and education are aligned with the needs of the broader community. By fostering a culture of engagement and collaboration, leaders can help to bridge the gap between academia and the real world and ensure that economic knowledge is effectively translated into practical solutions. Aside from the nuanced challenge of the traditional structural legacy, the demands of a globalised, market-driven HE sector have put pressure on a need for effective and efficient use of resources throughout the institution, in academic areas as well as in service areas. In parallel with the core teaching and research activity of the institution, professional service departments have become increasingly important in the provision of student services, accommodation, sports facilities, administration and management of student finance as well as university functions such as human resources, marketing, and financial management.

However, in order to achieve this, leaders in senior executive and professional service roles are required to navigate the priorities of academic colleagues. Ideally this will be attained through the development shared beliefs and values so that the institution can operate smoothly without being encumbered by bureaucratic structures, yet the reality is often somewhat different (Black, 2015). Effective leadership is deemed critical for the success of an institution in today's increasingly competitive environment, especially given the growing complexity and challenges faced by the higher education sector. . Ultimately, institutional leaders must have the conviction, vision, and interpersonal skills to steer their universities through significant structural and cultural change (Drew, 2010).

Academic administrative services should be carried out based on the direction of the leadership, and leaders should be ready and committed to making changes that improve the quality of character learning (Mokat, 2020). In today's increasingly competitive and dynamic environment, effective leadership has become indispensable to the success and sustainability of higher education institutions. Leaders are required not only to manage day-to-day operations but also to respond proactively to external pressures such as globalization, technological advancement, policy reforms, and shifting student expectations. These challenges demand more than administrative competence—they call for visionary leadership that is adaptive, inclusive, and strategically driven.

Ultimately, institutional leaders must possess a strong sense of conviction, a clear and inspiring vision, and exceptional interpersonal skills. These qualities enable them to guide their universities through complex structural reforms and cultural transformations. By fostering collaboration, building trust, and encouraging innovation, effective leaders can ensure their institutions remain resilient and responsive in the face of constant change.

The real challenge of economic academia leadership is lack of dynamism, insights, and personal and professional intelligence that largely determine the success of institutions (Habibi et al., 2022). Economic leadership does not just need degrees or honorariums, it has to be earned through experience, diligence, and hard work, day in and day out. Economic curriculum won't be effective if not studied through the contemporary lens, and academic leader should oversee these modifications (Antonopoulou et al., 2021). Transformative leaders take decisive action and embrace feedback to sustain academic institutions and promote academic excellence (Rao, 2014). A relevant example can be seen in a university setting where the Registrar convened a meeting focused on curriculum and business development. The primary agenda was to approve the course structure and syllabus. While administrative approval is a critical step, merely endorsing predefined content is insufficient in today's fast-evolving academic landscape. There is a pressing need for curriculum committees and academic leadership to engage in deeper discussions that extend beyond formal structures—specifically, the integration of contemporary developments, real-world case studies, and emerging trends relevant to each field.

For instance, courses in law, business, or technology cannot remain static or rooted solely in traditional theories. Incorporating recent policy changes, socio-economic shifts, technological innovations, and student feedback ensures that course content remains dynamic, relevant, and responsive. Ignoring these evolving elements and relying solely on conventional pedagogical methods risks creating a disconnect between academic knowledge and practical application. As a result, students may graduate with theoretical understanding but without the competencies demanded by modern professions.

To bridge this gap, faculty members must adopt roles that extend beyond their traditional duties as educators and researchers. Professors today are increasingly

being seen as academic entrepreneurs—professionals who not only generate knowledge but also create value through innovation, collaboration, and societal engagement. This entrepreneurial mindset involves identifying gaps in the existing curriculum, partnering with industry, initiating start-ups or social projects, conducting applied research, and co-developing programs with stakeholders outside the university.

For example, a professor of environmental economics might collaborate with NGOs, industry partners, or government agencies to develop modules that include sustainability practices, carbon markets, and climate policy. These initiatives not only enhance the curriculum but also provide students with experiential learning opportunities and exposure to real-world challenges.

Moreover, professors acting as entrepreneurs can contribute to institutional growth by securing external funding, launching certificate programs, organizing community-based learning initiatives, and promoting interdisciplinary ventures. This shift transforms the academic environment into an innovation ecosystem where knowledge is not only disseminated but also applied and commercialized.

In summary, meaningful curriculum development must be rooted in an ongoing dialogue that includes contemporary events, industry practices, and student perspectives. Institutional leaders must create a culture that encourages academic entrepreneurship, where professors are empowered to drive innovation, relevance, and social impact—ultimately preparing students not just for exams, but for leadership in an unpredictable and competitive world. (Rao, 2014). Entrepreneurship and innovation are frequently used interchangeably when it comes to undergraduate education, but they are distinct ideas that have different and significant effects in postsecondary institutional contexts (Swayne et al., 2019).

Universities globally have transitioned from conventional teaching and research institutions to entrepreneurial hubs, driven by governmental policies aimed at fostering economic advancement and technological economies. These institutions now emphasize innovation in their operational management, aligning with the broader concept of an "entrepreneurial university" focused on national and institutional policy advancements (Ahmat et al., 2021). The conventional indicators of academic success—such as high passing percentages, degree conferment rates, and placement statistics—are increasingly proving to be inadequate and outdated in evaluating the true impact of higher education, particularly in disciplines like economics. These metrics, while easy to quantify, fail to capture the broader purpose of academic training: to cultivate individuals who can meaningfully contribute to the social and economic progress of society.

A student graduating with a degree in economics is not merely acquiring academic credentials—they are stepping into a world where they will influence public policy, guide financial decisions, advise on resource allocation, and shape the livelihoods of entire communities. In this context, economic education carries immense social

responsibility. A well-prepared economics graduate should not only secure a job for themselves but should also possess the insight, empathy, and skills to create economic opportunities for others—directly or indirectly enabling more people to "earn salaries," as you rightly point out.

This paradigm shift calls for a fundamental reevaluation of what constitutes academic success. Instead of focusing solely on rote learning and examination outcomes, institutions must prioritize skill-based and ability-based education. This includes fostering critical thinking, data analysis, decision-making under uncertainty, ethical reasoning, digital fluency, and policy evaluation. By embedding such competencies into the curriculum, universities can ensure that graduates are not only employable but also empowered to be job creators, policy influencers, and agents of change.

Moreover, global academia must move beyond a "degree-for-degree's-sake" model. True educational development lies in the cultivation of *capabilities*, not just qualifications. This may include encouraging interdisciplinary learning, applied research, internships, community-based projects, and real-time economic problem-solving simulations. Assessment methods should reflect these changes, shifting from theoretical exams to case-based evaluations, live projects, and portfolio-based assessments that truly measure what students *can do*, not just what they *know*.

In this evolving academic landscape, institutions should also strengthen partnerships with industry, government bodies, and civil society to align educational objectives with real-world economic needs. This will bridge the gap between academic theory and practical application, enabling students to graduate not only with knowledge but with the wisdom and readiness to apply it meaningfully.

In conclusion, rethinking the metrics of academic success is essential if we are to prepare future economists—and graduates of all disciplines—as contributors to social progress. A globally relevant, skill-based, and responsibility-driven education model is no longer optional; it is an urgent necessity. The concept of incorporating local realities and indigenous knowledge into global education is not merely an altruistic endeavor but a pragmatic imperative for ensuring the relevance and effectiveness of academic curricula (Pattison et al., 2022).

The convergence of local realities and global educational goals necessitates a profound transformation in pedagogical approaches (Dawo & Sika, 2021). Contemporary educational frameworks must evolve beyond traditional, standardized models to embrace personalized, collaborative, and communicative learning experiences (Chahine, 2023). Another crucial dimension in the evolution of global academia—particularly within economics education—is the need to diversify and decentralize the structure of the curriculum. Rather than presenting economics as a monolithic discipline rooted solely in classical or neoclassical theory, there is a growing need to categorize and embed a variety of specialized approaches, such as environmental economics, behavioral economics, industrial economics, and academic or theoretical economics, within both undergraduate and postgraduate programs.

Each of these branches offers a unique lens through which economic phenomena can be analyzed. Environmental economics equips students to understand the trade-offs between development and sustainability, essential for addressing global challenges like climate change and resource depletion. Behavioral economics introduces psychological insights into economic decision-making, helping future professionals design policies and markets that account for real human behavior. Industrial economics offers frameworks for analyzing market structures, pricing, competition, and regulatory policies, which are vital for managing both local enterprises and large-scale industries. Academic or theoretical economics, meanwhile, continues to provide the analytical and mathematical foundation needed for deep research and higher studies.

However, introducing these categories alone is not enough. There must also be a conscious effort toward decentralizing economic studies, moving away from a one-size-fits-all national or global framework to models that are responsive to *regional and local realities*. This is particularly important in a diverse country like India, where economic dynamics vary significantly between states, cities, and even districts.

An Indian economics student, for example, should be encouraged not only to study macroeconomic indicators at the national level but also to engage deeply with the economic background of their own city or region. This may involve understanding local industry trends, informal labor markets, resource utilization, consumption patterns, urban-rural linkages, or municipal budgeting processes. Such grounded, context-specific learning fosters regional economic literacy, empowering students to grasp the nuances of local challenges and opportunities.

Once a student has acquired expertise and familiarity with their regional economy, they are far better equipped to contribute meaningfully to state or national-level economic planning. More importantly, their localized understanding becomes a solid foundation from which to engage with global economic systems, as they can now appreciate how micro-level patterns shape macroeconomic trends.

This bottom-up approach to economics education—from local to global—promotes not only academic excellence but also civic engagement, policy relevance, and employability. Students trained in this way are more likely to emerge as solution-oriented professionals, capable of designing inclusive and adaptive economic models.

In conclusion, to make economic education more impactful and globally competitive, academic institutions must expand the curriculum to include a range of specialized subfields while simultaneously fostering localized economic awareness. This integrated model will produce well-rounded graduates who can confidently navigate local complexities and contribute to global economic discourses with grounded perspectives and actionable insights.

From Classrooms to Communities: Expanding the Impact of Educators

The effectiveness of economics education in driving sustainable national development hinges significantly on the ability to impart managerial skills and competencies

effectively (Akarowhe, 2018). However, economic educators often lack formal training in classroom management and student interaction, which can inadvertently lead to an underinvestment in fostering diversity and inclusion within the classroom environment. However, economic educators often lack formal training in classroom management and student interaction, which can inadvertently lead to an underinvestment in fostering diversity and inclusion within the classroom environment. This gap not only affects the overall learning experience but also limits the ability of future economists to understand and address issues affecting marginalized and diverse populations—an essential component of equitable economic development.

Moreover, economics curricula in many developing countries, including India, still follow outdated pedagogical models that prioritize rote memorization and theoretical knowledge over real-world application and interdisciplinary learning. According to UNESCO (2020), there is a growing need to revamp economic education to include experiential learning, case-based analysis, and localized economic data interpretation. Without these reforms, students may struggle to connect textbook economics to the socio-economic complexities of their own regions, thus limiting their contribution to practical and policy-oriented economic development.

Another challenge lies in the minimal integration of managerial and soft skills training within economics programs. Studies by the World Economic Forum (2023) indicate that skills such as strategic thinking, data-driven decision-making, conflict resolution, communication, and leadership are increasingly vital in modern economic roles—whether in policy-making, business strategy, or research. Yet, these competencies are often sidelined in economics departments that focus heavily on technical content. This disconnect can result in graduates who may excel in analysis but lack the practical ability to lead teams, navigate organizational dynamics, or engage with stakeholders across sectors.

Faculty development is therefore a critical leverage point. Providing economics educators with regular pedagogical training, exposure to inclusive teaching strategies, and tools for student engagement can significantly enhance classroom outcomes. Institutions must invest in professional development programs that equip teachers with the ability to not only deliver content but also to mentor students, facilitate active learning, and promote inclusive classroom cultures. Initiatives such as peer teaching workshops, interdisciplinary collaboration, and engagement with practitioners from public and private sectors can help bridge the divide between academic knowledge and applied skills.

Moreover, encouraging economics departments to forge alliances with management schools, policy think tanks, and local industries can enrich the curriculum and provide students with real-world exposure.

In sum, to enhance the impact of economics education, academic institutions must adopt a multifaceted approach that includes curriculum reform, skill-based training,

faculty development, and strategic partnerships. By doing so, economics graduates will be better equipped to drive sustainable national development through evidence-based policy-making, innovative business strategies, and inclusive community development initiatives.

CONCLUSION AND WAY AHEAD

Academic institutions must recognize that the purpose of economics education extends far beyond mastering theoretical concepts and models. It involves equipping students with critical thinking skills, analytical rigor, and a deep understanding of local and global economic systems (Howard & Sarbaum, 2022). A curriculum that balances quantitative methods with qualitative insights, encourages interdisciplinary collaboration, and integrates practical experience is essential for producing graduates who can address the complex challenges of the 21st-century economy.

To make economics education more effective, institutions should focus on several key areas.

Firstly, emphasize the development of diverse skills that are applicable in various professional settings.

Secondly, promoting localized economic awareness will allow students to better understand the socio-economic realities of their communities.

Thirdly, encouraging cross-disciplinary research will broaden the knowledge of students (Johnston et al., 2000).

By implementing these strategies, academic institutions can transform economics education into a powerful tool for fostering sustainable development, promoting social equity, and enhancing the global competitiveness of nations.

REFERENCES

1. Ahamat, A., Sharif, S. M., Ali, M. S. S., Masrom, N. R., & Aziz, C. N. A. A. (2021). Examining the Characteristics of Academic Entrepreneurs: The Case of Malaysian Technology Driven University. *Journal of Technical Education and Training*, 13(2). <https://doi.org/10.30880/jtet.2021.13.02.007>
2. Akarowhe, K. (2018). Roles of Economics Education in Sustainable National Development. *Journal of Global Economics*, 6(3). <https://doi.org/10.4172/2375-4389.1000298>
3. Antonopoulou, H., Halkiopoulou, C., Barlou, O., & Beligiannis, G. N. (2021). Associations between Traditional and Digital Leadership in Academic Environment: During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Emerging Science Journal*, 5(4), 405. <https://doi.org/10.28991/esj-2021-01286>
4. Ayofe, A. N., & Ajetola, A. R. (2009). Exploration of the Gap Between Computer Science Curriculum and Industrial I.T Skills Requirements. *arXiv* (Cornell University). <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.0908.4353>

-
-
5. Bardales-Cárdenas, M., Cervantes-Ramón, E. F., Gonzales-Figueroa, I. K., & Farro-Ruiz, L. M. (2024). Entrepreneurship skills in university students to improve local economic development. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-024-00408-1>
 6. Black, S. A. (2015). Qualities of Effective Leadership in Higher Education. *Open Journal of Leadership*, 4(2), 54. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojl.2015.42006>
 7. Bomani, M., Gamariel, G., & Juana, J. S. (2021). University strategic planning and the impartation of technopreneurship skills to students: Literature review. *Journal of Governance and Regulation*, 10, 196. <https://doi.org/10.22495/jjgrv10i2siart1>
 8. Bryndin, E. (2021). Aspects of Research Training and Commercialization Research Results. *Science Journal of Education*, 9(1), 6. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.sjedu.20210901.12>
 9. Chahine, I. C. (2023). Advancing Innovation in Global Education: Reflective Experiences of Prospective Teachers as Difference Makers. *Education as Change*, 27. <https://doi.org/10.25159/1947-9417/12772>
 10. Chakraborty, D., & Biswas, W. (2019). Motivating factors in a teacher's research and developmental activities and their impact on effective quality teaching in higher education institutions. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 12(4), 609. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jarhe-07-2018-0161>
 11. Clark, D., Reboud, S., Toutain, O., Ballereau, V., & Mazzarol, T. (2020). Entrepreneurial education: an entrepreneurial ecosystem approach. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jmo.2020.26>
 12. Daddi, D., Boffo, V., Buragohain, D., & Iyaomolere, T. (2020). Programmes and methods for developing entrepreneurial skills in higher education. *Andragoske Studije*, 2, 101. <https://doi.org/10.5937/andstud2001101d>
 13. Dániel, Z. A., & Porkoláb, P. F. (2021). Success Factors for University Incubators - A Benchmarking Model for Better Performance. *Köz-Gazdaság*, 16(3), 219. <https://doi.org/10.14267/retp2021.03.15>
 14. Dawo, J. I. A., & Sika, J. O. (2021). HIGHER EDUCATION IN EVOLVING WORLD: ACCELERATING THE PACE OF CHANGE IN TEACHING FOR LEARNING. *European Journal of Education Studies*, 8(12). <https://doi.org/10.46827/ejes.v8i12.4029>
 15. Drew, G. (2010). Issues and challenges in higher education leadership: Engaging for change. *The Australian Educational Researcher*, 37(3), 57. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03216930>
 16. Duruflé, G., Hellmann, T., & Wilson, K. (2018). Catalysing entrepreneurship in and around universities. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 34(4), 615. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/gry015>
-
-

-
-
17. Habibi, H., Bigdeli, S., Sohrabi, Z., & Ebadi, A. (2022). Professionalism among academic educational leaders: A concept analysis. *PubMed*, 10(4), 259. <https://doi.org/10.30476/jamp.2022.93131.1517>
 18. Howard, E. S., & Sarbaum, J. (2022). Addressing Study Skills, Learning Theory and Critical Thinking Skills in Principles of Economics Courses. *Frontiers in Education*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.770464>
 19. Ivanova, O. P., Daneykin, Yu. V., Tumin, V. M., Trifonov, V., & Levin, Yu. A. (2021). Strategy of Development of the Regional Ecosystem “Education - Science – Industry.” *Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research/Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aebmr.k.210222.005>
 20. Iwara, I. O. (2025). Graduate Employability in Africa: Reimagining Rural-Based Entrepreneurial University Paradigm. *Sustainability*, 17(10), 4628. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17104628>
 21. Johnston, C., James, R., Lye, J., & McDonald, I. M. (2000). An Evaluation of Collaborative Problem Solving for Learning Economics. *The Journal of Economic Education*, 31(1), 13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220480009596758>
 22. Kasalak, G., Güneri, B., Ehtiyar, V. R., Apaydın, Ç., & Türker, G. Ö. (2022). The relation between leadership styles in higher education institutions and academic staff's job satisfaction: A meta-analysis study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1038824>
 23. Liew, M. S., Shahdan, T. N. T., & Lim, E. (2012). Strategic and Tactical Approaches on University - Industry Collaboration. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 56, 405. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.669>
 24. Lyken-Segosebe, D., Mogotsi, T., Kenewang, S., & Montshiwa, B. (2020). Stimulating Academic Entrepreneurship through Technology Business Incubation: Lessons for the Incoming Sponsoring University. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 9(5), 1. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v9n5p1>
 25. Miller, K., Alexander, A., Cunningham, J. A., & Albats, E. (2018). Entrepreneurial academics and academic entrepreneurs: a systematic literature review. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 77, 9. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijtm.2018.091710>
 26. Mokat, J. (2020). The Role of Leadership in Academic Services. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.201014.023>
 27. Mpofu, S., Shava, G. N., & Mpofu, T. (2024). Transforming Higher Education Towards Economic Development: A Zimbabwean Case Study. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00219096241287526>
-
-

-
-
28. Nelles, J., & Vorley, T. (2010). Entrepreneurial by Design: Theorizing the Entrepreneurial Transformation of Contemporary Universities. *Industry and Higher Education*, 24(3), 157. <https://doi.org/10.5367/000000010791657482>
 29. Nicoleta, N. S. (2013). Potential Training Programme for Academic Beginners. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 76, 588. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.04.169>
 30. Nowakowska, A. (2021). The engagement of universities in entrepreneurship and innovation infrastructure in Poland. *Optimum Economic Studies*, 31. <https://doi.org/10.15290/oes.2021.02.104.03>
 31. Olssen, M., & Peters, M. A. (2005). Neoliberalism, higher education and the knowledge economy: from the free market to knowledge capitalism. *Journal of Education Policy*, 20(3), 313. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02680930500108718>
 32. Pattison, P., Bridgeman, A. J., Bulmer, A., McCallum, P., & Miles, R. (2022). Renewing the Sydney undergraduate curriculum. *Higher Education*, 86(6), 1489. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-022-00982-x>
 33. Rao, M. S. (2014). Transformational leadership – an academic case study. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 46(3), 150. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ict-07-2013-0043>
 34. Rasmussen, E., & Sørheim, R. (2005). Action-based entrepreneurship education. *Technovation*, 26(2), 185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2005.06.012>
 35. Rolón, A. M. V., López, A. P., Pinzón, M. M., & Neira-Bermudez, D. (2023). Closing the academy–Business gap by building intellectual capital in professional formation. *Frontiers in Sociology*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2023.969285>
 36. Salleh, S. M., Jack, S., Bohari, Z., & Jusoff, H. K. (2011). Use of Information and Communication Technology in Enhancing Teaching and Learning. *International Education Studies*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v4n2p153>
 37. Schieb-Bienfait, N. (2004). A real world project driven approach, a pilot experience in a graduate enterprise programme: ten years on. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, 1, 176. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijesb.2004.005383>
 38. Swayne, N., Selznick, B. S., McCarthy, S., & Fisher, K. A. (2019). Uncoupling innovation and entrepreneurship to improve undergraduate education. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 26, 783. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jsbed-04-2019-0122>
 39. Tolstykh, T., Gamidullaeva, L., & Shmeleva, N. (2021). Universities as Knowledge Integrators and Cross-Industry Ecosystems: Self-Organizational Perspective. *SAGE Open*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020988704>
-
-