



LEGAL, ETHICAL
AND
PROFESSIONAL CHALLENGES
IN
INDIAN MEDICAL
PRACTICE AND HEALTHCARE

Editors

Prof. Dr. Geetali Tilak

Vice-Chancellor,
Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth, Pune

Adv. Dr. Swapnil Bangali

Founder Partner, Vishwaguru Omicient International Legal
Consultancy & Law Firm, Pune
Vice-President, Legal TM Systems Ltd.



ALL INDIA REPORTER, NAGPUR

8	Ethico-Legal Considerations in Paediatric Clinical Trials: An International Perspective	Aarti Ramesh Shukla	107
9	Exploring the Legal Aspects of Medical Negligence in India	Dr. K. Asra Shifaya & Dr. A. Senthil Kumar	123
10	The Evolving Landscape of Medical Negligence and Accountability: Fortifying Patients' Rights in a Changing Healthcare Paradigm	Vidhya P Shetty & Dr. Rohini Honap	137
11	Bioethics and Medical Negligence: Bridging Moral Philosophy and Legal Accountability	Ms. Siddha Kulkarni	153
12	Judicial Perspectives on the Standard of Proof in Medical Negligence Cases: An Indian Jurisdictional Analysis	Arundhati Kumbharikar	169
13	The Legal Framework Governing Expert Testimony in Medical Malpractice Cases: An Analytical Review	Mrs. Smita Bansode & Mrs. Sonali Sharma	185
14	Comparative Legal Analysis of Medical Compensation Laws between India, United States and Luxembourg	Vishakha Sharma & Nehal Sharma	199
15	The Cost of Healing: Comparative Insights into Medical Negligence Compensation Laws in India, The UK, And The US	Dr. Madhuri V. Sarwade	213
16	The Economic Value of Telemedicine and Its Legal Implications for Better Services	Rohan Bavadekar	231

The Economic Value of Telemedicine and Its Legal Implications for Better Services

Rohan Bavadekar*

Abstract

Telemedicine has emerged as a transformative force in healthcare, particularly in the aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic, offering the promise of improved access, cost-effectiveness, and quality care delivery for remote and underserved populations. This chapter explores the multifaceted economic value of telemedicine in the Indian context, highlighting its ability to reduce travel costs, hospital readmissions, and consultation delays, while

*Assistant Professor, TMV's Lokmanya Tilak Law College, Pune.

232 Legal, Ethical and Professional Challenges in Indian Medical Practice and Health Care

simultaneously addressing its legal and infrastructural challenges. Despite growing internet penetration and smartphone usage, the rural-urban digital divide, limited health infrastructure, and inadequate budgetary allocations continue to hamper equitable access to telehealth services. Furthermore, the chapter emphasizes the need for robust legal frameworks, standardization of pricing policies, and Government-led regulatory support to ensure patient data security and service quality. By examining telemedicine's potential and limitations, the chapter advocates for a blended model where telehealth complements conventional healthcare systems, thus creating a more inclusive, economically sustainable, and legally secure healthcare environment in developing nations like India.

Keywords

Telemedicine, Digital Health, Healthcare Access, Economic Impact, Legal Framework, India, Health Infrastructure, Rural Health, COVID-19, Digital Divide

1. The Concept and Challenges

Telemedicine holds the promise of revolutionizing healthcare delivery by enhancing access, improving quality, and containing costs, particularly for underserved and geographically isolated populations (Bashshur & Shannon, 2012). After the onset of covid 19, the majority of health institutions have channelled a great deal of resources to telemedicine and telehealth (Gajarawala & Pelkowski, 2020). we can't say it was just necessity of the time but as population has spread to remote areas, telemedicine has greatly improved access to healthcare for the rural community and for people of low socio-economic status (Shende & Wagh, 2024). In country like India, where more than 50% of population still lives in rural area and lack of social infrastructure with low per capita income limits the possibility of better and quality medical facilities in such remote areas. The lower standard of living, if we compare it urban wise leads to greater health challenges and awareness towards quality medical facilities.

If digital infrastructure is well established and managed, telemedicine can reach a larger population at much lower costs (Agarwal et al., 2020). Its economic value includes reduced

patient travel expenses, fewer hospital readmissions through remote monitoring, and more efficient specialist consultations (Du et al., 2022). While telemedicine offers many economic benefits, better chronic disease management, improved patient compliance, and superior outcomes, it also faces challenges. Overall, it helps reduce healthcare costs for patients and providers (Alvandi & Mhs, 2017).

2. Challenges of Telemedicine Accessibility

Widespread telemedicine faces significant challenges, such as the need for strong technological infrastructure, the digital divide impacting underserved communities, and complex legal and regulatory frameworks (Gupta et al., 2019). Lack of reliable internet and digital literacy especially hinder adoption in remote and rural areas (Chellaiyan et al., 2019; Okolo et al., 2024).

Before focusing on legal and administrative support, it is crucial to examine current economic schemes and budget allocations for improving medical facilities and management. Telemedicine can only succeed if conventional hospitals, clinics, and expert professionals are available. However, in developing countries, Governments often do not view health budgets as long-term investments to enhance human resource quality. Household healthcare spending remains low, as many still struggle for basic survival. In fact, a middle-class individual in a developing country is often just one critical illness away from poverty. India's health expenditure is about 3.5% of GDP, which is low compared to other developing nations like Bhutan and Maldives. This limited funding contributes to poor doctor-patient ratios, fewer medical college seats, and weak infrastructure at primary and community health centres.

Telemedicine isn't just about expert doctors in metros treating rural patients remotely; it's about strengthening the basic healthcare infrastructure with proper medical colleges, experts, and administrative support to improve access. This approach will save medical costs and travel expenses. Since Indians often seek second opinions, clear and accurate reports can help telemedicine provide quicker appointments and more effective decision-making. (Zhang & Zaman, 2019).

What kind of digital infrastructure is needed, and should telemedicine pricing match physical visits or be regulated? India's digital infrastructure is rapidly growing. As of 2023, India has the world's second-largest internet user base, increasing from 302 million subscribers in 2015 to 881 million in 2023, with tele-density rising from 80.85% to 84.76%. India is also the second-largest smartphone market with about 650 million users. This shows progress in telemedicine, but awareness and doctor-patient ratios still need improvement, and alternative solutions should be explored. The combination of the digital divide, infrastructure limits,

and regulatory gaps threatens equitable telemedicine access, especially for vulnerable populations in developing countries like India. (Bhaskar et al., 2020; Chellaiyan et al., 2019).

To ensure the successful integration of telemedicine into mainstream healthcare delivery, policymakers, healthcare providers, and technology developers must collaborate to address these challenges, bridging the digital divide, establishing interoperable technology platforms, and formulating clear legal and ethical guidelines that safeguard patient privacy and data security.

Another important challenge is about the diseases which can't be treated virtually like operation and serious injuries. Telemedicine initiatives in India hold the potential to revolutionize healthcare delivery, particularly in rural and underserved areas, by leveraging digital technologies to connect patients with specialists and improve access to quality medical care (Antwi & Opoku-Mensah, 2021; Bagchi, 2006). Several organizations in India have demonstrated interest in telemedicine, yet its comprehensive adoption remains limited (Syed-Abdul et al., 2011). There are various Governmental and private telemedicine solution providers, as well as a few societies and organizations actively engaged in raising awareness about telemedicine in the country (Mishra et al., 2009).

Will be required for better telemedical facilities. For health policies, telemedicine can be considered a suitable solution for providing cost-effective and sustainable healthcare (Palozi et al., 2020).

Telemedicine has surfaced as an essential tool during the COVID-19 pandemic, enhancing patient monitoring, limiting disease transmission, and guaranteeing continuous care for vulnerable individuals with chronic conditions (Omboni et al., 2022). but the important point is what price has to be imposed by doctors. For example, if a doctor with high reputation charges high fees in offline consultations and also in telemedicine, then it will be a barrier for the people who live in the rural.

Another important economic aspect of telemedicine is the cost-effectiveness of devices. Wearable sensors, remote diagnostic tools, and secure communication platforms can be expensive, limiting access in underserved areas. To promote wider adoption, Government policies should regulate and standardize doctor consultation fees for telemedicine services ensuring affordability and equity. (Fatehi et al., 2019).

The implementation of telemedicine encounters significant hurdles in developing countries including elevated costs and cultural resistance (Hassibian & Hassibian, 2016). Infrastructure limitations present another substantial obstacle (Bali, 2019). Telemedicine is a way to overcome geographical barriers and increase access to health care services for people living in rural and remote areas (Hassibian & Hassibian, 2016). Telemedicine may vary

from a simple dialogue (Hassibian & Hassibian, 2016). Pricing decisions are not just about rural urban divide it is about the nature and duration of the treatment as well.

3. Pricing and Economic Implication of Telemedical Practice

The economic value of telemedicine lies in its potential to reduce healthcare costs, improve access to care, and enhance patient outcomes (Bali, 2019). Telemedicine offers several economic advantages, including reduced travel costs for patients, lower overhead expenses for healthcare providers, and improved efficiency in resource allocation (Gulube & Wynchank, 2001; Moulaei et al., 2023). Telemedicine also enables healthcare providers to extend their reach to underserved populations, increasing access to specialist care and preventive services (Tolu-Akinnawo et al., 2024). Moreover, telemedicine reduces costs by lowering hospital readmissions, emergency visits, and long-term care needs. However, its economic value depends on factors such as technology infrastructure costs, insurance reimbursement policies, and adoption rates among patients and healthcare providers. (Grigsby et al., 1995).

In developing countries or in regions with limited infrastructure, telemedicine will mainly be used in applications that link providers based at health centers, referral hospitals and tertiary centers (Heinzelmann et al., 2005). The majority of countries, however, do not have a regulatory framework in place to authorize, integrate, and reimburse telemedicine into their care delivery for all patients, especially during times of emergency and outbreak (Ohannessian et al., 2020). Even where these countries have the technologies to deliver telemedicine, these are often not fully integrated into health systems.

To find the price equilibrium of pricing and access of telemedicine, the virtual and physical market has to be studied differently (Maita et al., 2024; Nitisha et al., 2023). Telemedicine holds immense promise for revolutionizing rural healthcare by overcoming geographical barriers and enhancing access to medical services, particularly for individuals with mobility issues or chronic conditions. hence the normal equilibrium models or pricing models of conventional treatment structure can't be followed. The main reason is the demography, availability of resources and trust issues.

Telemedicine can address the issue of the distribution of health care resources between urban and rural areas (Panyayen et al., 2021). Telemedicine programs for rural and underserved areas can be developed and implemented with the insights obtained from this research, which will ultimately improve health outcomes for vulnerable people (George & George, 2023; Jacob, 2021). In socialistic country like India Government should subsidize the telemedicine to the people by providing insurance and reduce legal cost (Jha et al., 2020).

In a democratic country like India, important questions arise about the equitable delivery of telemedicine. The emphasis on "democratic" is deliberate, as such a Government, espe-

cially with a socialistic orientation, must ensure access to essential services like healthcare. Telemedicine can play a pivotal role here, acting as a “healthcare gym” for distributing medical resources, especially in rural and underserved areas lacking traditional infrastructure.

It can help detect chronic and rare diseases, highlighting health and nutritional deficiencies that inform robust, evidence-based policymaking. But a key issue remains: how will the costs of such a wide-reaching initiative be managed? Will telemedicine be integrated into broader digital infrastructure projects like fibre optics and broadband networks, and treated as a digital public good?

Clear definitions and planning are vital; otherwise, public health delivery risks dilution. The success of telemedicine depends on whether all stakeholders—doctors, hospitals, clinics, pharma companies, and health-tech providers—see viable economic incentives. Without a shared economic model and collaborative strategy, the goal of inclusive digital healthcare may remain out of reach. Ultimately, healthcare must be treated as a fundamental right, not a market luxury. (Maroju et al., 2023).

Telemedicine applications are incredibly diverse, ranging from remote primary care and specialized services to home care for chronic diseases (Wade et al., 2010). The rise of telemedicine reflects its potential role in future healthcare strategies and the integration of health information technology research (LeRouge & Garfield, 2013). Telemedicine holds promise for overcoming barriers to healthcare access, especially for rural populations (Li et al., 2025). The sustainability of telemedicine systems has been previously limited by cost issues and resource limitations (Kuo et al., 2001). Telemedicine solutions developed for low-resource settings can also be valuable in middle- and high-income countries (Wootton & Bonnardot, 2015).

Telemedicine has become more versatile, serving purposes such as clinical decision support, prescription management, disease management, and disaster response, thereby extending beyond mere connectivity (Sridhar & Pras, 2011).

4. Collaborative Governance in Telemedicine

Economic valuation of telemedicine highlights the need for collaboration between Government and private sectors to ensure equitable access. A robust telemedicine framework requires shared responsibility—public investment in infrastructure, private innovation in technology, and joint governance to ensure transparency, accountability, and outreach to underserved populations. (Edmunds et al., 2017; Matheus & Ribeiro, 2009).

Telemedicine extends beyond remote diagnosis and treatment; it involves close coordination between healthcare providers and the pharmaceutical industry. Increasing collaborations among hospitals, clinics, and pharma companies are improving access to care and medicine. However, these partnerships also risk fostering market concentration and monopolistic practices. To prevent misuse of power and protect smaller providers and consumers, clear regulatory guidelines are essential. Without oversight, dominant corporate control over telemedicine infrastructure, especially in rural areas—could undermine equity and access. Safeguarding healthcare's democratic nature requires robust mechanisms to prevent economic monopolies in this vital, public-focused sector.

The swift integration of telemedicine into healthcare systems has shown remarkable promise, yet it also presents potential challenges, particularly concerning equity. Without proactive measures, the widespread implementation of telemedicine could worsen existing disparities in healthcare access for vulnerable populations lacking digital literacy or access, such as rural residents, ethnic minorities, older adults, and those with limited income or English proficiency (NouriSarah et al., 2020). To prevent the creation of a two-tiered healthcare system, policymakers must establish clear regulations promoting fair access and ensuring that marginalized groups receive culturally sensitive and linguistically appropriate telemedicine services. Furthermore, monitoring and modification should be responsive in the use of telemedicine services to promote telehealth equity (Dixit et al., 2021).

Telemedicine represents more than just a technological advancement; it signifies a transformative shift in how healthcare is delivered and accessed. To fully realize this potential, healthcare organizations must view telemedicine as an integral component of their services, not merely a supplementary option (LeRouge & Garfield, 2013). By strategically integrating telemedicine into existing healthcare workflows and investing in necessary infrastructure, these organizations can broaden their reach, improve patient outcomes, and achieve cost efficiencies. This requires a proactive and forward-thinking approach, with an emphasis on continuous improvement and adaptation to emerging trends in healthcare technology.

5. Economic Equality and Social Justice

The issue of economic equality is closely tied to social justice in healthcare. If the telemedicine sector becomes monopolized, not only will it create economic disparities, but it will also compromise social justice and equitable access to healthcare. This would ultimately defeat the objective of using telemedicine to bridge the urban-rural health divide.

In such a scenario, patients in remote regions might be left at the mercy of profit-driven entities, with limited choice, poor quality of service, or unaffordable prices. Therefore, maintaining economic balance and equitable access is not just an economic concern but a funda-

mental social responsibility. Telemedicine has the potential to improve access to healthcare services, especially for individuals in remote areas or those with limited mobility (Goyal et al., 2023; Kim, 2010). Telemedicine facilitates health monitoring and promotes preventive medicine and offers improved medical services with equality (Khemapech, 2019). Telemedicine should be designed and implemented so as not to exacerbate existing inequalities (Tolu-Akinnawo et al., 2024; Iletpetto et al., 2024).

In the United States and other parts of the world, healthcare reforms are essential to address long-standing issues, including unequal access to health services, inefficiencies in healthcare delivery and financing, inconsistent quality, rising costs, and adverse lifestyles that worsen these problems (Bashshur et al., 2014). Telemedicine encompasses various services, including virtual consultations, remote monitoring, and telehealth education (Alawiye, 2024). Telemedicine can revolutionize healthcare by using information and communication technologies to bridge distances and enhance medical access, thus improving health outcomes for various communities (Hirani et al., 2024). Telehealth technologies are becoming an important component of the healthcare system (Tuckson et al., 2017).

Telemedicine's growth is clear, but fulfilling its potential requires addressing legal gaps, improving digital literacy, and ensuring access to technology (Harvey et al., 2018)(Jeffries et al., 2021). Achieving effective integration calls for user training, continuous tech development, digital infrastructure, and coordinated efforts from stakeholders to support patient-centered care (Dongre et al., 2021)(Furlepa et al., 2022).

6. Legal Implications and Framework of Telemedicine: A Necessary Foundation

The economic value of telemedicine is inextricably linked to its legal costs. Telemedicine is increasingly accepted and can complement standard care for various conditions (Shaver, 2022). However, questions remain about its effectiveness, the role of physical exams, and the reliability of patient-provided data. Continued research is needed to address fundamental issues and adapt to evolving technologies (Grigsby et al., 1995).

While the economic potential and collaboration between the pharmaceutical sector and telemedicine can be studied through secondary research, establishing telemedicine as a smooth and accessible healthcare practice in India requires a strong legal framework. Such a framework provides structure, protection, and clarity by defining stakeholder rights and responsibilities. Though not directly linked to economic valuation, legal certainty increases investor confidence and promotes sector growth. Well-regulated telemedicine programs tend to reduce costs and improve user satisfaction.(O'Donnell et al., 2022).

A key legal challenge in telemedicine is doctor licensing, as qualifications and regulations differ internationally. With rising cross-border consultations, laws must clearly define juris-

diction. Where allowed, international agreements should ensure compliance and standardized care. In countries like the U.S., licensure laws significantly hinder telemedicine growth. As telemedicine expands access by overcoming geography, technology and digital literacy remain crucial for success. (Kruse et al., 2016). To overcome telemedicine adoption barriers, a Delphi team can identify consensus for international public policy, followed by surveys to set standards for interoperability and global acceptance. (Kruse et al., 2016).

Medical treatment differs across cultures due to climate, body types, and diets. An American diagnosis may not fit Indian patients, so country-specific guidelines are necessary. Governments should collaborate to create telemedicine diagnostic frameworks. With growing use of mobile health tech and patient data, robust legal and ethical rules are crucial to ensure data security and patient privacy. (Schneider & Biglan, 2017).

Data privacy and digital security are critical concerns in telemedicine. Laws like India's IT Act and the U.S. HIPAA protect data rights, but telemedicine adds risks involving personal identity, biometric data, and sensitive medical history. Mishandling this data can lead to misuse, discrimination, or even biological threats. India needs a dedicated Telemedicine Act with strict rules on electronic record storage, secure data transmission, and penalties for breaches.

Additionally, medical negligence and liability in telemedicine are complex issues. Without physical contact, diagnosis is limited, increasing risks of misdiagnosis or delayed treatment.

Therefore, laws must clearly define:

- What constitutes medical negligence in virtual care.
- The jurisdictional accountability of doctors treating patients outside their physical region or country.
- The rights of patients and their legal recourse in such cases.

If these issues are not resolved, conflicts may arise between patients' legal rights and doctors' protections, especially in cross-border cases, raising serious social justice concerns. Therefore, medical negligence and liability in telemedicine should be clearly codified and included in a future Medical Practices (Telemedicine) Act in India. Research on telemedicine is valuable for defining the conditions doctors must follow to deliver lawful healthcare and for guiding telemedicine regulation. (Orviský & Klátik, 2021).

The implementation of telemedicine in India requires healthcare professionals' capabilities to increase and patient awareness campaigns should be pursued (Shende & Wagh, 2024).

Informed consent is a fundamental principle in medical ethics. While physical hospitals currently obtain signed consent forms from patients, the virtual nature of telemedicine demands a new approach. Legal provisions must ensure that:

- Consent is explicitly recorded in digital form.
- Patients understand the scope, limitations, and risks of virtual treatment.
- A standardized consent protocol is developed for telemedicine that mirrors the seriousness of physical consent procedures.

The physician must make available all information that is reasonably necessary to allow the patient to make an informed decision regarding proposed treatment (Agrawal, 2016; Trwari, 2013). The information that the physician must provide to the patient includes the nature of the pertinent ailment, the nature of the proposed treatment, any alternative treatment, or procedures, and the relative risks and benefits of each. These efforts will help establish a balanced legal and ethical environment that benefits both healthcare providers and patients, thereby maximizing the economic and social value of telemedicine.

Another key legal challenge in telemedicine's growth is intellectual property rights (IPR). IPR covers not only medical treatments and drugs but also digital infrastructure like software algorithms and AI tools. Strong IPR laws are essential to incentivize innovation, support technology transfer and commercialization, and prevent misuse or unauthorized copying of telemedicine platforms. (Rodrigues, 2000).

To facilitate and promote investment in telemedicine technologies, existing intellectual property laws should be amended or strengthened to include specific clauses regarding digital health innovations.

These considerations are critical as telemedicine evolves to meet the changing demands of healthcare delivery, requiring a holistic approach that includes ethical considerations to ensure patient-centered care while maintaining fiscal, ethical, and legal responsibilities (Singh & Keer, 2020).

Since telemedicine involves not only doctor-patient communication but also digital reports, health updates, and automated diagnostics, clear legal definitions of ownership rights are essential. Protecting these digital assets by law prevents disputes over software ownership and usage, especially in a globalized healthcare setting.

Courts may struggle with IPR ownership issues due to technological complexity and cross-border factors. Thus, a dedicated legal framework is needed to resolve such disputes efficiently and ensure telemedicine's economic benefits without sacrificing legal clarity.

Ultimately, telemedicine's success depends on addressing its ethical, legal, and social challenges, building trust, and adapting laws to its unique needs. (Cordeiro, 2021). Without clear laws, there's a risk that populist policies may override property rights in the name of social equity or universal access. This compromise, though well-intentioned, could discourage innovation and investment in telemedicine technologies.

Another critical legal concern is the clarity around reimbursement and health insurance policies in the telemedicine sector. The government and regulatory authorities must define telemedicine-specific provisions in insurance contracts between service providers and insurers. Telemedicine services should be incorporated into standard health insurance policies and clearly define which services are covered, at what rates, and under what conditions.

Since most teleconsultations do not involve physical infrastructure or hospitalization, not all telemedicine services may qualify for insurance coverage under current norms. Therefore, it is essential to clearly define which types and formats of telemedical treatments are eligible for reimbursement.

Insurance policies should prioritize delivering benefits directly to consumers rather than becoming profit-driven tools for companies. With telemedicine's rise, the risk of fraudulent or misrepresented claims increases, making it crucial to establish clear coverage criteria in advance.

Proper legal frameworks will protect both patients and insurers from exploitation and misunderstandings, fostering public trust and ensuring the financial sustainability of telemedicine services nationwide. Health decision-makers widely recognize technology's potential to enhance access, timeliness, quality of care, patient satisfaction, and reduce travel costs and stress (Edmunds et al., 2017).

This regulatory clarity is essential for several reasons:

- It protects patients from unexpected costs, ensuring they are not financially burdened by seeking virtual care.
- It offers financial security to healthcare providers who invest in telemedicine infrastructure and training.
- It promotes the widespread adoption of telemedicine by making it economically feasible for both providers and patients.

For this to happen, legislative measures are necessary to mandate that private and public health insurers include telemedicine services in their coverage plans (LeRouge et al., 2019).

- The establishment of clear legal standards is also crucial for maintaining and regulating the quality of care in telemedicine.
- Quality assurance measures should be legally mandated and uniformly applied across all telemedicine platforms.
- Licensing requirements for telemedicine practitioners must be standardized to ensure consistent quality of care across different jurisdictions.
- Continuous monitoring and auditing mechanisms should be implemented to oversee and evaluate the clinical outcomes of telemedicine interventions.

Additionally, the development of specific clinical practice guidelines for telemedicine is essential.

These guidelines should address technical aspects such as video quality and data security, as well as clinical aspects such as remote examination techniques and patient assessment protocols (Cowan et al., 2019).

Given that clinicians are at the forefront of telemedicine implementation, addressing their concerns about legal, financial, and regulatory challenges is essential (Cowan et al., 2019). Telemedicine has been gaining traction, guidelines and standards are critical to ensure the effective and safe delivery of quality healthcare (Krupinski & Bernard, 2014).

In order to realize the full potential of telemedicine, it must be integrated into established health insurance policies, quality of care requirements must be met by law, and healthcare personnel must be aware of the benefits of telemedicine in the workplace.

7. Conclusion

Telemedicine has the potential to transform healthcare delivery, yet its success hinges on a robust legal and regulatory framework. This framework must address critical issues such as data privacy, licensure, liability, and reimbursement to ensure that telemedicine services are safe, effective, and accessible (Ezeamii et al., 2024). Clear legal standards are essential to protect patient rights, promote innovation, and foster trust in telemedicine technologies (Moghbeli et al., 2017).

For the widespread adoption of telemedicine, policymakers, healthcare providers, and legal experts must work together.

The rapid deployment of telehealth technologies underscores the need for standardized evaluation processes (Burrell, 2023). This requires assessing the effects of telehealth not only on costs but also on the quality of care and accessibility for patients, providers, and the broader healthcare system (Bashshur, 1995).

By addressing these challenges, telemedicine can improve healthcare delivery, particularly in underserved regions (Alawiye, 2024).

It is the responsibility of the states to utilize the full economic and legal potential of the telemedicine for better medical and health future. The development of standards and guidelines is a priority (Krupinski & Bernard, 2014).

References

1. Agrawal, A. (2016). Medical negligence: Indian legal perspective [Review of Medical negligence: Indian legal perspective]. *Annals of Indian Academy of Neurology*, 19(5), 9. Medknow. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-2327.192889>
2. Alawiye, T. R. (2024). The Impact of Digital Technology on Healthcare Delivery and Patient Outcomes. *E-Health Telecommunication Systems and Networks*, 13(2), 13. <https://doi.org/10.4236/etsn.2024.132002>
3. Alvandi, M., & Mhs. (2017). Telemedicine and its Role in Revolutionizing Healthcare Delivery. *The American Journal of Managed Care*, 5. <https://www.ajmc.com/journals/ajac/2017/2017-vol5-n1/telemedicine-and-its-role-in-revolutionizing-healthcare-delivery>
4. Bagchi, S. (2006). Telemedicine in Rural India. *PLoS Medicine*, 3(3). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0030082>
5. Bali, S. (2019). Barriers to Development of Telemedicine in Developing Countries. In *IntechOpen eBooks*. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.81723>
6. Bashshur, R. L. (1995). Telemedicine effects: Cost, quality, and access [Review of Telemedicine effects: Cost, quality, and access]. *Journal of Medical Systems*, 19(2), 81. Springer Science+Business Media. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02257059>
7. Bashshur, R. L., & Shannon, G. W. (2012). Telemedicine as a Modality of Health Care Delivery and its Implications. In *IGI Global eBooks* (p. 620). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-4666-0315-8.ch053>