



LEGAL, ETHICAL
AND
PROFESSIONAL CHALLENGES
IN
INDIAN MEDICAL
PRACTICE AND HEALTHCARE

Editors

Prof. Dr. Geetali Tilak

*Vice-Chancellor,
Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth, Pune*

Adv. Dr. Swapnil Bangali

*Founder Partner, Vishwaguru Omicient International Legal
Consultancy & Law Firm, Pune
Vice-President, Legal TM Systems Ltd.*



ALL INDIA REPORTER, NAGPUR

Detailed Contents

Sr. No.	Topic	Author/s	Page No.
1	The Legal Framework Governing Informed Consent in Medical Practice: Principles, Challenges and Implications	Radhika Hastak	1
2	Informed Refusal: Exploring Legal and Ethical Perspectives on the Right to Decline Medical Care	Ms. Ashwini B. Biradar	17
3	Balancing Autonomy and Duty of Care: A Legal and Ethical Analysis of the Right to Refuse Medical Treatment	Ketki Dalvi	35
4	Doctors on Trial: Law, Ethics, and Protection	Abhay S. Nevagi	51
5	Navigating Informed Consent in Critical and Emergency Care: Legal, Ethical, and Practical Perspectives	Mrs. Varsha Vyankatesh Badwe & Mrs. Sapana Niraj Jaiswal	61
6	Hospital Accountability and the Ethics of Informed Consent in Organ Transplantation: Investigating Medical Governance and Patient Rights in India	Ashutosh A. Naik	75
7	Role of Informed Consent in Clinical Trials of Subject Confidentiality and Data Protection: Legal Implications of Health Records in Clinical Trials	Dr. Gauri Kala & Disha Sharma	95

The Legal Framework Governing Informed Consent in Medical Practice : Principles, Challenges and Implications

Radhika Hastak*

Abstract

A key idea in medical ethics and legal norms is informed consent, which denotes the patient's autonomy to select their own medical care. This chapter examines the legal framework pertaining to informed consent in healthcare, focusing on the guiding ideas, the challenges faced by practitioners, and the broader implications for medical law. The principle of informed consent has developed through court rulings, legislation, and professional standards, all designed to guarantee that patients obtain sufficient information to make free and informed choices. This chapter examines the key components of valid informed consent, which encompass dis-

* Asst. Professor, TMV's Lokmanya Tilak Law College, Pune.

closure, competence, voluntariness, understanding and the act of consent itself. It also addresses the differences in interpretation and application among jurisdictions, resulting in inconsistencies that can affect both practitioners and patients.

Obstacles like language obstacles, cultural variations, unexpected scenarios and advancing medical technologies make the execution of informed consent even more complex. The chapter further examines how legal responsibility emerges from violations in this procedure, frequently leading to malpractice lawsuits. Additionally, it assesses the equilibrium between paternalism and patient autonomy in contemporary healthcare, emphasizing the necessity of open communication. The chapter argues for enhanced educational initiatives for healthcare providers and patients, aiming to promote shared decision-making and reinforce patient's rights.

This chapter enhances understanding of how legal systems can promote or obstruct the implementation of informed consent by examining case law, legislative regulations and ethical principles. This chapter emphasizes the necessity of ongoing legal and educational changes to ensure that legal obligations correspond with ethical requirements in an evolving healthcare setting.

Keywords

Consent obtained with knowledge, healthcare legislation, autonomy of patients, ethics in medicine, legal structure, medical lawsuits, duty of physicians.

Introduction

Informed consent stands as a cornerstone of ethical medical practice, representing the right of adult patients to autonomously decide whether to accept or reject proposed treatments (Fields & Calvert, 2015). This doctrine is firmly rooted in the ethical principle of respecting individuals as autonomous agents, capable of making decisions about their personal goals and acting accordingly (Gostin, 1995). The requirement for informed consent is underpinned by the idea that any invasive medical procedure conducted without it is tantamount to assault. The informed consent process ensures that individuals are provided with

relevant information regarding their medical condition, proposed treatment options, potential risks and benefits, and alternative treatments, enabling them to make informed decisions aligned with their values and preferences (Grady et al, 2017). The importance of informed consent has grown significantly in medical practice over time, marking a shift from a paternalistic approach where physicians were the primary decision-makers, to one that recognizes patient autonomy as central (Requarth, 2015). The foundations of informed consent can be traced back to the Nuremberg Code of 1947, which established principles of medical ethics, extending into general codes, emphasizing the necessity of voluntary informed consent for human subjects in research ("The Nuremberg Code (1947)," 1996). Securing informed consent serves as a crucial safeguard, ensuring the protection of individual rights and well-being within the healthcare context (Bhupathi & Ravi, 2017). Beyond its ethical implications, informed consent is also legally mandated in numerous jurisdictions, establishing a standard of care that healthcare professionals must adhere to (Manti & Licari, 2018). Failure to obtain informed consent can lead to legal ramifications, including claims of battery, negligence, or medical malpractice (Zainudin et al., 2013). The legal and ethical principles that underpin the doctrine of informed consent are constantly evolving, influenced by changes in society, technology and healthcare delivery models.

2. Principles of Informed Consent

The core principles of informed consent are autonomy, information, comprehension and voluntariness. Autonomy emphasizes the right of individuals to make their own decisions, free from coercion or undue influence (Amer, 2013). Information necessitates that healthcare providers disclose all relevant details about a proposed treatment, including its nature, purpose, risks, benefits, alternatives and the right to refuse or withdraw from treatment at any time (Requarth, 2015). This disclosure should be comprehensive and tailored to the individual's level of understanding. Comprehension requires that the individual understands the information provided and is able to appreciate its significance in relation to their own circumstances. Voluntariness implies that the individual's decision is made freely and without coercion, manipulation or undue influence. In practical terms, informed consent is not merely a signature on a form but an ongoing, interactive process of shared decision-making between the healthcare provider and the patient (Fields & Calvert, 2015). The consent form serves as documentation that this process has taken place and the patient's medical records should reflect the specifics of the process (Hall et al., 2012). A robust conversation should occur, ensuring the patient's decision is not influenced by time constraints or workflow demands (Hall et al., 2012; Manti & Licari, 2018). To ensure all elements of the process are implemented, a checklist may be integrated to standardize the consent (Requarth, 2015).

3. Challenges in Obtaining Informed Consent

Despite its importance, obtaining informed consent in medical practice is fraught with challenges, stemming from various sources, including patient-related factors, provider-related factors, and systemic issues. Patient-related factors include variations in health literacy, cognitive impairments, emotional distress, and cultural beliefs, it may make it difficult to understand and make decisions. The degree to which people are able to access, process, and comprehend the fundamental health information and services required to make informed health decisions is known as health literacy, is a particularly significant barrier, with many patients struggling to understand complex medical information. Cognitive impairments such as dementia or intellectual disabilities, can further compromise the ability to provide informed consent, necessitating alternative approaches such as involving surrogate decision makers. Emotional distress, such as anxiety or depression, can also cloud judgment and impair decision-making capacity, making it difficult for individuals to weigh the risks and benefits of treatment options rationally. Cultural beliefs and values can also influence attitudes toward medical treatment and decision-making preferences, requiring healthcare providers to be sensitive to cultural diversity and adapt their communication strategies accordingly. Various multimedia tools may be implemented to improve patient comprehension (Madeira et al., 2017).

Provider-related factors include time constraints, communication barriers, biases and lack of training in effective communication techniques, which can hinder the informed consent process. Time constraints, especially in busy clinical settings, can limit the amount of time available for patient education and shared decision-making, leading to rushed or incomplete discussions. Communication barriers, such as language differences or the use of medical jargon, can further impede understanding and create confusion. In order to empower patients to make their own decisions, healthcare providers need to understand the power dynamics that exist in the patient-provider interaction and take appropriate action (Ajluni, 2023). Biases, whether conscious or unconscious, can influence the way healthcare providers present information and frame treatment options, potentially undermining patient autonomy.

Systemic issues, such as organizational policies, legal regulations and economic incentives, can also create barriers to informed consent. Organizational policies that prioritize efficiency over patient-centered care can discourage thorough discussions and shared decision-making. Legal regulations, such as those governing medical malpractice, can incentivize defensive medicine practices, leading healthcare providers to prioritize risk management over patient autonomy.

The legal framework governing informed consent has significant implications for both healthcare providers and patients, shaping the delivery of medical care and influencing patient outcomes.

For healthcare providers, adherence to informed consent principles is not only an ethical obligation but also a legal requirement, with potential liability for negligence or breach of duty if informed consent is not properly obtained. Failure to obtain informed consent can result in legal action, including medical malpractice lawsuits, which can have significant financial and reputational consequences.

For patients, informed consent is a fundamental right that protects their autonomy and empowers them to make informed decisions about their healthcare. To enhance patient outcomes, however, patient comprehension-enhancing therapies ought to be used (Schenker et al., 2010). Patients are given the knowledge they require to make decisions that are consistent with their values and preferences thanks to the informed consent procedure, leading to greater satisfaction and better health outcomes (Ajluni, 2023; Rossel, 2004). The self-determination of patients can be significantly affected by the professionals who care for them (Gadow, 1989).

Therefore, there is a need for ongoing efforts to address the challenges in obtaining informed consent and promote patient-centered care. This includes developing innovative strategies to enhance patient education, improve provider communication skills, and address systemic barriers to informed consent. Tailoring consent to accommodate changes that promote understanding and should be presented in a variety of ways, potentially with new technology (Fons-Martínez et al., 2022). The rise of artificial intelligence in healthcare introduces both opportunities and challenges to the informed consent process (Kiseleva et al., 2022). Patients must be informed about the roles of these technologies in their care (Elendu et al., 2023). Transparency and explainability are necessary when automated decisions impact the quality of life and health integrity of patients (Jannin, 2021). Furthermore, it is crucial to tackle ethical issues pertaining to the utilization of artificial intelligence in the education and healthcare industries by securing written informed consent (D'Souza et al., 2024). Patients should be made fully aware of how their data is utilized and the security measures implemented to protect their privacy (Adeniyi et al., 2024). Considering the potential of AI to improve patient education and decision-making, it is important to address the ethical concerns surrounding data privacy, algorithm bias, and transparency (Farhud & Zokaei, 2021). Continuing to improve AI implementation by informing patients on the technology and its applications may promote greater patient acceptance and adoption rates (Hildt, 2025; Li et al., 2024). The necessity of addressing how AI applications assess a patient's understanding when granting consent and how to proceed when individuals cannot consent, highlights the need to protect vulnerable individuals (Fiske et al., 2019). The incorporation of AI in healthcare calls for careful handling of security and privacy issues, most notably when handling confidential patient data (Reddy et al., 2019; Shang et al., 2024). When adding suggestions from AI solutions to a patient's treatment plan, it will also be critical to give doctors the assistance they require to allay any worries that patients could

have. (Grunhut et al, 2022; Jha et al, 2023; Shuaib, 2024). The focus should be on improving the reliability, transparency, and ethical standards of AI technologies to ensure they contribute positively to global health outcomes (Akinrinmade et al, 2023). A multidimensional strategy is needed, involving legislators, developers, medical professionals, and patients, to resolve the ethical and legal challenges related to AI in healthcare (Jeyaraman et al, 2023).

4. Legal Framework in India

India's legal framework for informed consent is rooted in common law principles of patient autonomy and the right to make decisions about one's own body. Several laws and regulations address different facets of the matter, but there isn't a single, all-inclusive act that codifies informed consent (Swaminathan, 2019). According to Article 21 of the Constitution, which covers the right to informed consent, the Indian Supreme Court has declared the right to health to be a fundamental right (Swaminathan, 2019). The landmark case of *Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India* (AIR 2018 SC 4321) (Johar, 2018) highlighted how crucial personal freedom and private rights are while making decisions about healthcare.

People can live their life as they see fit thanks to the idea of autonomy. Even if doing so puts them in danger of dying, a competent person is free to choose an alternate treatment, refuse all treatment, or refuse a specific therapy. (Johar, 2018).

The Indian Contract Act of 1872 also has ramifications for informed consent, especially when it comes to the contractual arrangements that exist between patients and medical professionals. A patient and the healthcare professional sign a contract when the patient seeks medical attention, which includes an implied understanding that the provider will provide competent care and obtain informed consent for any medical interventions.

The Consumer Protection Act of 1986, which has since been replaced by the Consumer Protection Act of 2019, provides a remedy for patients who suffer harm as a result of deficient medical services. Patients are considered customers under this law, and healthcare providers must offer services that adhere to specific care standards. A service shortfall may occur if informed consent is not obtained, entitling the patient to compensation for any resulting harm.

The Information Technology Act of 2000, and its subsequent amendments, address data protection and privacy concerns related to the use of electronic health records and telemedicine. This Act provides a legal framework for regulating the collection, storage and use of sensitive personal data, including health information. Under this act, companies are required to implement "reasonable security practices and procedures" that are designed to protect information from unauthorized access, damage, use, modification, disclosure, or

impairment (Misra & Chacko, 2021). The rules also require organizations to have a privacy policy that is readily accessible to people and that specifies how they will be handling personal data (Gr, 1992).

Citizens can get information kept by public bodies, including government-run hospitals and healthcare institutions, thanks to the Right to Information Act of 2005. Medical records and other data pertinent to a patient's care can be obtained under this law, which can be valuable in cases where informed consent is disputed. The application's effectiveness is largely dependent on the government's dedication to upholding openness and transparency in its implementation, even though it generally adheres to international best practices (Dalal, 2009).

The National Medical Commission Act of 2019, as the highest governing organization for medical education and practice in India, the National Medical Commission took the position of the Medical Council of India. This act aims to promote ethical conduct among medical professionals and ensure the quality of medical care.

Moreover, the Data Privacy Act of 2012 ensures the protection of personal data in information and communication systems within both the government and private sectors, emphasizing the necessity of obtaining consent from data subjects before processing their personal data (Aragon et al, 2025).

Despite the current legal and regulatory frameworks, there are still considerable obstacles to putting the principles of informed consent into practice in the Indian healthcare system. A focus on privacy rights and data protection is increasingly important due to allegations of privacy violations by both governmental and private entities, which highlights the necessity of robust measures to safeguard citizen's privacy (Boruah & Das, 2021).

The Mental Health Care Act of 2017 in India is a landmark piece of legislation that promotes the rights, dignity and autonomy of people who are mentally ill and seeks to change how mental healthcare is provided across the country. By emphasizing the need to include persons with mental illness in decision-making processes and by outlining specific procedures for informed consent with regard to their care and treatment, this Act attempts to promote a human rights-based approach to mental healthcare. This Act addresses digital data privacy, but there have not been many studies done on this topic to date (Bondre et al., 2021).

The Digital Personal Data Protection Act of 2023, is being deliberated and is anticipated to establish stringent implementation and policy standards; this will affect how telemedicine is implemented in India (Shende & Wagh, 2024).

India has made progress in developing a legal framework for informed consent, significant challenges remain in ensuring its effective implementation.

5. Procedures and Documentation

The requirements for documentation and procedure of informed consent in medical practice are essential to protecting patient's rights and promoting ethical medical care. The guidelines act as a road map for medical personnel, guaranteeing that patients are adequately informed about their treatment alternatives and actively involved in choices regarding their healthcare.

These stipulations typically start with giving patients precise, understandable information about their diagnosis, the nature of the suggested treatment or procedure and any connected dangers and benefits. The likelihood of success, any alternatives, including the choice to forego treatment, and any reasonably anticipated risks, side effects, and possible outcomes should all be explained to patients (Bhupathi & Ravi, 2017). To enable patients make informed choices according to their values and desires, this information should be presented in a way that is tailored to their level of comprehension and cultural background (Bolcato et al, 2020).

The documentation of informed consent is an important process that ensures accountability and openness in medical practice. Detailed records of discussions with patients, including the information provided, their comprehension and their expressed consent, should be kept by healthcare providers. In order to guarantee that patients have enough time to carefully consider their options and seek clarification, sufficient time must be allotted for the informed consent process. It is essential to keep thorough records of any questions asked by patients as well as the answers given by healthcare professionals in order to demonstrate that all issues were adequately resolved.

The use of standardized consent forms, decision aids and educational resources is frequently part of the informed consent procedure in order to help patients better understand complex medical information. These materials ought to be created with the patient's requirements in mind and presented in a way that is culturally sensitive and simple to comprehend (Fons Martinez et al., 2022). Furthermore, steps ought to be taken to guarantee that patients are free to make decisions without being subjected to coercion, manipulation, or undue influence.

The documentation of informed consent typically entails the patient's signature on a consent form, which attests to their comprehension of the information presented and their voluntary agreement to the proposed course of action. The signed consent form should be kept in the patient's medical record and made accessible to both the patient and healthcare providers. Moreover, healthcare providers should routinely evaluate and update their procedures for

obtaining and documenting informed consent to make sure they stick to the best practices and legal requirements (Terry, 2007).

6. Informed Consent in Special Contexts

Minors and people with impaired capacity require additional protections to ensure their rights and well-being are safeguarded. For minors, parental or guardian consent is generally required, although some jurisdictions have matured minor doctrines that allow certain minors to consent to treatment independently, depending on their maturity and the nature of the treatment (Manti & Licari, 2018). When dealing with people who have diminished capacity, such as those with cognitive impairments or mental illnesses, determining their capacity to make decisions and offering suitable assistance are essential.

The use of substitute decision-makers, such as guardians or healthcare representations, may be required to provide consent on behalf of people who lack the ability to make decisions on their own. It is imperative that healthcare providers adhere to legal and ethical standards while obtaining informed consent from vulnerable populations in order to protect their autonomy and dignity (Nazarko, 2006).

In Emergency situations, it includes instances where a patient is unconscious, unable to communicate, or poses an imminent threat to themselves or others (Manti & Licari, 2018). In these circumstances, it is assumed that the patient would agree to treatment if they were able to do so and healthcare providers are permitted to deliver essential care without first getting explicit consent. Nevertheless, it is imperative that healthcare providers only offer the care required to stabilize the patient's condition and get informed consent as soon as the patient is able to make decisions. The idea of implicit consent allows for the waiver of the informed consent requirement in emergency cases where immediate medical action is necessary to prevent serious damage or death.

Mental health and psychiatric care present specific considerations in the context of informed consent, particularly when patients may have impaired decision-making capacity due to their mental illness (Ottosson, 2004).

In these situations, it is essential to make sure that patients are fully informed about the suggested course of therapy, including any potential advantages, dangers, and available alternatives. Providers should also assess the patient's capacity to understand and appreciate the information being presented and provide support and accommodation as needed to facilitate informed decision-making (Cohen et al., 2015). When patients lack the capacity to provide informed consent, surrogate decision-makers may need to be involved to provide accommodation consent on their behalf, adhering to ethical and legal guidelines.

Healthcare providers should take extra precautions to evaluate patient's comprehension and ensure that their consent is freely and voluntarily given, without coercion or undue influence, while respecting their autonomy and dignity (Haxby & Shuldham, 2008).

Research and experimental treatments present ethical and legal challenges concerning informed consent, particularly when the risks and benefits are uncertain or not fully understood. The Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) guidelines emphasize that researchers must obtain voluntary informed consent from participants, ensuring they are fully aware of the study's objectives, procedures, potential risks, and benefits (Silverman, 2011). In clinical trials, it is vital to provide prospective participants with detailed information about the study protocol, including the purpose of the research, the steps involved, possible advantages and disadvantages, and their freedom to leave at any moment without incurring any fees. Additionally, researchers need to resolve any possible conflicts of interest and take precautions to reduce participant hazards, such as through careful study design and monitoring procedures. Moreover, institutional review boards play a crucial role in reviewing and approving research protocols to ensure that the rights and welfare of participants are adequately protected.

Obtaining informed consent in research settings requires cultural sensitivity and awareness of linguistic and literacy barriers, especially when involving diverse populations (Manti-Licari, 2018). The process of information disclosure appears fairly straightforward; however, in a real situation, it may present difficulties. It becomes necessary to make adjustments to the communication methods used to obtain informed consent depending on the language, cultural background and degree of health literacy of the participants (Manti-Licari, 2018; Musmade et al., 2013). Researchers should use culturally appropriate materials and methods to communicate information effectively and ensure that participants understand the nature of the research and their rights (Grady et al., 2017). It is vital to have study materials translated accurately and interpreted correctly in order to eliminate language barriers and promote understanding among potential research participants (Hanrahan et al., 2015). Furthermore, researchers should take into account cultural beliefs and practices that can have an impact on people's attitudes toward medical research and their readiness to take part. Researchers must also be aware of health literacy levels and use simple language and visual aids to convey complex information in an accessible way, considering that individuals from racial and ethnic minorities, older adults and those with lower levels of education are more likely to have limited literacy (Simonds et al., 2017).

Informed consent is based on respect for potential participants, necessitating researchers to obtain their informed consent, protect those with impaired decision-making capacity, and maintain confidentiality (Patel et al., 2003). In addition to ensuring that research participants are fully informed, it is crucial to respond to any queries or worries they might have and offer continuous assistance during the course of the study. (Alby et al., 2014).

7. Conclusion

To sum up, the legislative framework that regulates informed consent in medical practice is essential to providing ethical treatment because it protects patient autonomy and encourages patients and healthcare practitioners to collaborate on decisions. However, several challenges persist, including issues related to patient comprehension, cultural sensitivity, and the complexities of obtaining consent in emergency situations or from vulnerable populations. Addressing these challenges requires ongoing efforts to enhance communication strategies, promote health literacy and ensure that legal and ethical standards are upheld in all healthcare settings. Therefore, interdisciplinary collaboration among healthcare professionals, legal experts and ethicists is essential to navigate the evolving landscape of informed consent and to develop best practices that prioritize patient well-being and respect for individual rights.

Upholding ethical norms in clinical and research contexts requires adherence to the fundamentals of freely informed consent. Practical considerations such as time constraints, resource scarcity, and the complexity of medical information must be taken into account while obtaining informed consent. To enhance the procedure for informed consent and ensure that patients can make informed decisions regarding their medical care, it is crucial to invest in resources and training. Additionally, enhancements to the informed consent procedure could be realized by employing structured interviews, utilizing memory aids and encouraging patients to recall and reiterate key discussion points.

The incorporation of technology and digital health solutions offers potential to revolutionize the informed consent process and enable patients to engage more actively in their healthcare choices. Nonetheless, the framework may serve as a valuable resource for scholars exploring creative methods to improve the ethical dimensions of their work. Healthcare providers can improve patient education and engagement by providing personalized information and interactive tools through digital platforms and mobile devices. In order to balance the competing demands of confidentiality and care coordination, healthcare organizations must create comprehensive policies and frameworks. The use of electronic health records and data analytics can encourage information sharing, enhance care co-ordination, and improve patient safety. The ethical concerns linked to the use of mobile IT in health care are intricate.

It's crucial that we tackle the ethical issues that arise due to the extensive use of technology in healthcare. With the increasing prevalence of digital health technologies, it is crucial to thoroughly examine their ethical implications, particularly regarding patient empowerment, data protection and biases in algorithms. For instance, emerging types of technologically advanced clinical specialists might be needed to manage health data produced by patients and remote monitoring in the future.

Through proactive ethical examination and the establishment of best practices, health professionals can effectively leverage the capabilities of digital health technologies while maintaining the principles of equity, beneficence and patient autonomy.

References

1. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 21(2), 1660. GSC Online P
<https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.2.0593>
2. Ajluni, V. (2023). Respecting autonomy: Prioritizing patient-centered care and decision making capacity for stronger doctor-patient relationships. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*, 12(8), 1752. https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpe.jfmpe_712_23
3. Akinrinmade, A. O., Adebile, T. M., Ezuma-Ebong, C., Bolaji, K., Ajufo, A., Adigun, O., Mohammad, M., Dike, J. C., & Okobi, O. E. (2023). Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: Perception and Reality [Review of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: Perception and Reality]. *Cureus*. Cureus, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.45594>
4. Alby, F., Zucchermaglio, C., & Fatigante, M. (2014). Beyond the written words of informed consent: What participants would like to know about research. *Nordic Psychology*, 66(2), 71. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19012276.2014.926228>
5. Amer, A. B. (2013). Informed Consent in Adult Psychiatry. *Oman Medical Journal*, 28(4), 228. <https://doi.org/10.5001/omj.2013.67>
6. Aragon, K. A. P., Cabudoc, M. A. L., Remolin, A. B., & Zamora, Z. M. D. (2024). Analyzing the Impact of Privacy Concerns on Consumer Behaviour. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 920. <https://doi.org/10.47777/ijriss.2024.8120077>
7. Bhupathi, A., & Ravi, G. (2017). Comprehensive Format of Informed Consent in research and Practice: A Tool to uphold the Ethical and Moral Standards [Review of Comprehensive Format of Informed Consent in Research and Practice: A Tool to uphold the Ethical and Moral Standards]. *International Journal of Clinical Pediatric Dentistry*, 10(1), 73. <https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10005-1411>
8. Bolcato, M., Fassina, G., Sanavio, M. & Aprile, A. (2020). The new Italian law 219/2017 as an extraordinary clinical tool in internal medicine. *Italian Journal of Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.4081/itjm.2020.1280>

9. Bondre, A., Pathare, S. & Naslund, J. A. (2021). Protecting Mental Health Data Privacy in India: The Case of Data Linkage with Aadhaar. *Global Health Science and Practice*, 9(3), 467. <https://doi.org/10.9745/ghsp-d-20-00346>
10. Boruah, J., & Das, B. (2021). Right To Privacy and Data Protection Under Indian Legal Regime. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3827766
11. Cohen, L. T., Millock, P. J., Asheld, B. A., & Lane, B. (2015). Minor Patients: Consent to Treatment and Access to Medical Records. *Journal of the American College of Radiology*, 12(8), 788. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacr.2015.05.006>
12. Dalal, R. (2009). Right to Information Act, 2005: Expectations and Constraints. *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, 55(3), 649. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0019556120090322>
13. D'Souza, R., Mathew, M., Mishra, V. & Surapaneni, K. M. (2024). Twelve tips for addressing ethical concerns in the implementation of artificial intelligence in medical education. *Medical Education Online*, 29(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10872981.2024.2330250>
14. Elendu, C., Amaechi, D. C., Elendu, T. C., Jingwa, K. A., Okoye, O. K., Okah, M. J., Ladele, J. A., Farah, A. H., & Alimi, H. A. (2023). Ethical implications of AI and robotics in healthcare: A review [Review of Ethical implications of AI and robotics in healthcare: A review]. *Medicine*, 102(50). Wolters Kluwer. <https://doi.org/10.1097/md.00000000000036671>
15. Farhud, D. D., & Zokaei, S. (2021). Ethical Issues of Artificial Intelligence in Medicine and Healthcare. *Iranian Journal of Public Health*. <https://doi.org/10.18502/ijph.v50i11.7600>
16. Fields, L. M., & Calvert, J. D. (2015). Informed consent procedures with cognitively impaired patients: A review of ethics and best practices [Review of Informed consent procedures with cognitively impaired patients: A review of ethics and best practices]. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 69(8), 462. Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pcn.12289>
17. Fiske, A., Henningsen, P., & Buyx, A. (2019). Your Robot Therapist Will See You Now: Psychology, and Psychotherapy]. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 21(5). JMIR Publications. <https://doi.org/10.2196/13216>
18. Fons Martínez, J., Ferrer Albero, C., & DiezDomingo, J. (2022). Keys to improving the informed consent process in research: Highlights of the iCONSENT project. *Health Expectations*, 25(4), 1183. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hex.13427>
19. Gadow, S. (1989). An ethical case for patient self-determination. *Seminars in Oncology Nursing*, 5(2), 99. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-2081\(89\)90067-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-2081(89)90067-3)

- 14 Legal, Ethical and Professional Challenges in Indian Medical Practice and Health C
20. Gostin, L. O. (1995). Informed Consent, Cultural Sensitivity, and Respect for
In JAMA (Vol. 274, Issue 10, p. 844). American Medical Association. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1995.03530100084039>
21. Gr, G. (1992). One moment, please. PubMed, 82(1), 37. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1499783/>
22. Grady, C., Cummings, S. R., Rowbotham, M. C., McConnell, M. V., Ashley, J.,
Kang, G. (2017). Informed Consent [Review of Informed Consent]. *New England
Journal of Medicine*, 376(9), 856. Massachusetts Medical Society. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMr1603773>
23. Grunhut, J., Marques, O., & Wyatt, A. T. M. (2022). Needs, Challenges, and A
pplications of Artificial Intelligence in Medical Education Curriculum. *JMIR Medical
Education*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.2196/35587>
24. Gupta, U. (2013). Informed consent in clinical research: Revisiting few conce
areas. *Perspectives in Clinical Research*, 4(1), 26. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-7859.106373>
25. Hall, 184(5), 533. Canadian Medical Association. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.1>
26. Hanrahan, D., Sexton, P., Hui, K., Teitcher, J., Sugarman, J., London, A. J., Bar
Purpura, J. E., & Klitzman, R. (2015). Linguistic and Cultural Challenges in Com
munication and Translation in US-Sponsored HIV Prevention Research in Emerg
ing countries. *PLoS ONE*, 10(7). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133394>
27. Haxby, E., & Shuldham, C. (2008). Consent and lack of capacity. *British Jo
urnal of Cardiac Nursing*, 3(12), 559. <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjca.2008.3.12.31801>
28. Hildt, E. (2025). What Is the Role of Explainability in Medical Artificial Intellig
Case-Based Approach. *Bio-engineering*, 12(4), 375. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering12040375>
29. Jannin, P. (2021). Towards responsible research in digital technology for health
arXiv (Cornell University). <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2110.09255>
30. Jeyaraman, M. Inc. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.43262>
31. Jha, D., Rauniyar, A., Srivastava, A., Hagos, D. H., Tomar, N. K., Sharma, V., K
Zhang, Z., Demir, U., Topcu, A. E., Yazidi, A., Håakegård, J. E., & Bagci, U. (2024).
Ensuring Trustworthy Medical Artificial Intelligence through Ethical and Philosoph
Principles. arXiv (Cornell University). <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2304.11530>

32. Johar, N. S., & India, U. O. (2018). Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India AIR 2018 SC 4321.
33. Kiseleva, A., Kotzinos, D. & Hert, P. D. (2022). Transparency of AI in Healthcare as a Multilayered System of Accountabilities: Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence, 5. Frontiers Media. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2022.879603>
34. Li, Y.-H., Li, Y., Wei, M.-Y., & Li, G. (2024). Innovation and challenges of artificial intelligence technology in personalized healthcare [Review of Innovation and challenges of artificial intelligence technology in personalized healthcare]. Scientific Reports, 14(1). Nature Portfolio. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-70073-7>
35. Madeira, J. L., Coyne, K. D., Jaeger, A. S., Parry, J. P., & Lindheim, S. R. (2017). Inform and consent: more than just "sign here." Fertility and Sterility, 108(1), 40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2017.03.022>
36. S. & Licari, A. (2018). How to obtain informed consent for research. Breathe, 14(2), 145. <https://doi.org/10.1183/20734735.001918>
37. Misra, A., & Chacko, M. (2021). Square pegs, round holes, and Indian cybersecurity laws. International Cybersecurity Law Review, 2(1), 57. <https://doi.org/10.1365/s43439-021-00026-7>
38. Musmade, P., Nijhawan, L., Janodia, M. D., Muddukrishna, B., Bhat, K., Bairy, K., & Udapa, N. (2013). Informed consent: Issues and challenges [Review of Informed consent: Issues and challenges]. Journal of Advanced Pharmaceutical Technology Amp Research, 4(3), 134. Medknow. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2231-4040.116779>
39. Nazarko, L. (2006). Legal and ethical issues surrounding dementia. Nursing and Residential Care, 8(4), 180. <https://doi.org/10.12968/nrec.2006.8.4.20671>
40. Ottosson, J. (2004). Is ECT an Ethical Treatment. <https://www.psychiatrictimes.com/electroconvulsive-therapy/ect-ethical-treatment/page/0/1>
41. Patel, M. X., Doku, V., & Tennakoon, L. (2003). Challenges in recruitment of research participants. Advances in Psychiatric Treatment, 9(3), 229. <https://doi.org/10.1192/apt.9.3.229>
42. Reddy, 27(3), 491. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocz192>
43. Requarth, J. A. (2015). Informed Consent Challenges in Frail, Delirious, Demented, and Do-Not-Resuscitate Adult Patients [Review of Informed Consent Challenges in Frail, Delirious, Demented, and Do-Not-Resuscitate Adult Patients]. Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology, 26(11), 1647. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvir.2015.08.011>

- 16 Legal, Ethical and Professional Challenges in Indian Medical Practice and Health Ca
44. Rossel, P. (2004). [Autonomy and its limits]. PubMed, 166(24), 2330. pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15281568
45. Schenker, Y., Fernández, A., Sudore, R. L., & Schillinger, D. (2010). Medical Making, 31(1), 151. SAGE Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989x1036424>
46. Shang, Z., Chauhan, V., Devi, K., & Patil, S. (2024). Artificial Intelligence, the Surgeon: Unravelling Its Emerging Footprint in Healthcare – The Narrative [Review of Artificial Intelligence, the Digital Surgeon: Unravelling Its Emerging print in Healthcare – The Narrative Review]. Journal of Multidisciplinary Health, 4011. Dove Medical Press. <https://doi.org/10.2147/jmdh.s482757>
47. Shende, V., & Wagh, A [Narrative Review]. Cureus. Cureus, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.7755/cureus.69102>
48. Shuaib, A. (2024). Transforming Healthcare with AI: Promises, Pitfalls, and Pathward. International Journal of General Medicine, 1765. <https://doi.org/10.2147/ijgm.s482757>
49. Silverman, H. (2011). Protecting Vulnerable Research Subjects in Critical Care: Enhancing the Informed Consent Process and Recommendations for Safeguards of Intensive Care, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/2110-5820-1-8>
50. Simonds, V., Garrouette, E. M., & Buchwald, D. (2017). Health Literacy and Informed Consent Materials: Designed for Documentation, Not Comprehension of Healthcare. Journal of Health Communication, 22(8), 682. <https://doi.org/10.10810730.2017.1341565>
51. Swaminathan, G. R. (2019). Arunkumar v. The Inspector General of Registration. Indian Journal of Law, 1(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.10810730.2017.1341565>
52. Terry, P. B. (2007). Informed Consent in Clinical Medicine [Review of Informed Consent in Clinical Medicine]. CHEST Journal, 131(2), 563. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.06-1955>
53. The Nuremberg Code (1947). (1996). BMJ, 313(7070), 1448. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.313.7070.1448>
54. Yelne, S., Chaudhary, M., Dod, K., Sayyad, A., & Sharma, A [Comprehensive Review of Its Impact and Challenges in Nursing Science and Healthcare]. Cureus. Cureus, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.7755/cureus.49252>
55. Zainudin, T. N. A. T, Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies. <https://doi.org/10.5901/ajis.2013.v2n9p278>

