



LEGAL, ETHICAL
AND
PROFESSIONAL CHALLENGES
IN
INDIAN MEDICAL
PRACTICE AND HEALTHCARE

Editors

Prof. Dr. Geetali Tilak

*Vice-Chancellor,
Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth, Pune*

Adv. Dr. Swapnil Bangali

*Founder Partner, Vishwaguru Omicient International Legal
Consultancy & Law Firm, Pune
Vice-President, Legal TM Systems Ltd.*



ALL INDIA REPORTER, NAGPUR

Detailed Contents

Sr. No.	Topic	Author/s	Page No.
1	The Legal Framework Governing Informed Consent in Medical Practice: Principles, Challenges and Implications	Radhika Hastak	1
2	Informed Refusal: Exploring Legal and Ethical Perspectives on the Right to Decline Medical Care	Ms. Ashwini B. Biradar	17
3	Balancing Autonomy and Duty of Care: A Legal and Ethical Analysis of the Right to Refuse Medical Treatment	Ketki Dalvi	35
4	Doctors on Trial: Law, Ethics, and Protection	Abhay S. Nevagi	51
5	Navigating Informed Consent in Critical and Emergency Care: Legal, Ethical, and Practical Perspectives	Mrs. Varsha Vyankatesh Badwe & Mrs. Sapana Niraj Jaiswal	61
6	Hospital Accountability and the Ethics of Informed Consent in Organ Transplantation: Investigating Medical Governance and Patient Rights in India	Ashutosh A. Naik	75
7	Role of Informed Consent in Clinical Trials of Subject Confidentiality and Data Protection: Legal Implications of Health Records in Clinical Trials	Dr. Gauri Kala & Disha Sharma	95

8	Ethico-Legal Considerations in Paediatric Clinical Trials: An International Perspective	Aarti Ramesh Shukla	107
9	Exploring the Legal Aspects of Medical Negligence in India	Dr. K. Asra Shifaya & Dr. A. Senthil Kumar	123
10	The Evolving Landscape of Medical Negligence and Accountability: Fortifying Patients' Rights in a Changing Healthcare Paradigm	Vidhya P Shetty & Dr. Rohini Honap	137
11	Bioethics and Medical Negligence: Bridging Moral Philosophy and Legal Accountability	Ms. Siddha Kulkarni	153
12	Judicial Perspectives on the Standard of Proof in Medical Negligence Cases: An Indian Jurisdictional Analysis	Arundhati Kumbharikar	169
13	The Legal Framework Governing Expert Testimony in Medical Malpractice Cases: An Analytical Review	Mrs. Smita Bansode & Mrs. Sonali Sharma	185
14	Comparative Legal Analysis of Medical Compensation Laws between India, United States and Luxembourg	Vishakha Sharma & Nehal Sharma	199
15	The Cost of Healing: Comparative Insights into Medical Negligence Compensation Laws in India, The UK, And The US	Dr. Madhuri V. Sarwade	213
16	The Economic Value of Telemedicine and Its Legal Implications for Better Services	Rohan Bavadekar	231

17	Telemedicine and Medical Negligence: A Legal Analysis In The Indian Context	Seema Patil	251
18	Legal Aspects of Telemedicine and Digital Health Record	Ms. Shraddha Nigade	267
19	Medico-Legal Challenges in Forensic Science: Legal and Ethical Issues in Modern Indian Healthcare	Aditi Kumari, Mr. Rajendra Kokare, Dr. Krishna Kulkarni, Dr. Sagar B. Wankhede	279
20	Law, Gender, and Consent: Reforming Legal Narratives for Equity and Justice (Broader societal application of consent in a legal context)	Rucha Kulkarni	285
21	Reforming Rape Jurisprudence in India Through Global Legal Frameworks	Dr. Ashish Deshpande & Madhusudan Prasad	297
22	Legal Implications of Artificial Intelligence on the Health Sector in India	Adv (Dr.) Harita Bangali & Adv. (Dr.) Swapnil Bangali	311

Hospital Accountability and the Ethics of Informed Consent in Organ Transplantation: Investigating Medical Governance and Patient Rights in India



Ashutosh A. Naik*

Abstract

Organ transplantation represents a significant medical breakthrough that has preserved numerous lives; however, it has also prompted serious ethical and legal dilemmas, especially in a multifaceted and varied nation like India. This chapter explores the interconnected themes of hospital responsibility, informed consent and patient entitlements within the context of organ transplantation, emphasizing systemic shortcomings that undermine

* Associate Professor, TMV's Lokmanya Tilak Law College, Pune.

ethical governance and hinder access to justice. While the Transplantation of Human Organs and Tissues Act (THOTA), 1994 offers the essential legal foundation, its application frequently suffers due to administrative discrepancies, inadequate oversight systems and a lack of protective measures against coercion and malpractice.

The chapter starts by detailing the historical progression of organ transplantation in India and the ethical considerations related to consent, autonomy and beneficence. It then, thoroughly evaluates the legal framework, uncovering enforcement gaps, procedural ambiguity and the limited effectiveness of hospital ethics committees. Utilizing case law, policy criticism and academic studies, it investigates the discrepancy between the formal acknowledgment of patient rights and their actual implementation, particularly for economically and socially marginalized groups.

In the succeeding sections, the chapter assesses the judiciary's role, the shortcomings in grievance redressal processes and the urgent necessity for legal literacy and public awareness. It concludes by proposing practical, multi-layered solutions, which include bolstering informed consent procedures, improving institutional accountability, establishing expedited health tribunals and incorporating bioethics into medical training.

Ultimately, the chapter champions a patient-focused and justice-driven perspective on organ transplantation, ensuring that life-saving medical procedures do not infringe upon autonomy, dignity, or fairness.



Keywords

Organ Transplantation, Informed Consent, Hospital Accountability Patient Rights Medical Ethics in India.



1. Introduction

Organ transplantation stands out as one of the most significant and life-saving milestones in contemporary medicine. It brings renewed optimism to individuals facing end-stage organ failure, yet it also prompts intricate legal and ethical dilemmas, particularly

regarding the accountability of hospitals and the principle of informed consent. In India, where significant disparities exist in healthcare access, regulatory enforcement and public knowledge, the governance surrounding organ transplantation presents distinct challenges (Brahmbhatt, 2020; Gupta & Patel, 2018). The intersection of medical practice and legal oversight becomes particularly essential in such procedures, where decisions often balance urgency, ethics and legality. It's crucial for doctors to grasp the language and principles involved so they can actively contribute to the ongoing discussions (Lewis & Gardiner, 2023).

The accountability of hospitals, both as a legal standard and as an ethical duty, is crucial for ensuring transparency, equity and safety in organ transplantation practices. From accurate registration under the Transplantation of Human Organs and Tissues Act, 1994 (THOTA, 1994), to meticulous record-keeping and adherence to ethical procurement and transplantation protocols, hospitals hold substantial responsibilities. Failures within this framework have been spotlighted in various high-profile cases of illegal organ trading, exploitation of vulnerable populations and procedural errors, revealing serious shortcomings in enforcement mechanisms (Chopra, 2019; Mishra, 2021). The current landscape in India reveals an intricate situation marked by increasing numbers of liver transplants, signifying the presence of proficient technical capabilities; nevertheless, it is crucial to impartially assess the broader perspective, pinpoint challenges and contemplate implementing improvements for the future. This necessitates a comprehensive evaluation of existing regulations, ethical guidelines and practical implementation strategies to fortify hospital accountability (Muraleedharan et al., 2005).

Equally important is the notion of informed consent, which establishes the principle of patient autonomy in medical ethics and law. Nevertheless, in the Indian context, informed consent is frequently treated as a mere formality rather than a process that ensures true comprehension and voluntary agreement. Obstacles such as language barriers, medical illiteracy, socio-economic disadvantages and institutional pressures can compromise the fundamental intent of obtaining consent, especially in emotionally charged and urgent situations like organ donation post-brain death. These situations underscore the critical need for culturally sensitive communication strategies and robust patient education programs to empower patients and their families to make informed decisions, free from coercion or misinformation. (Kohli, 2017; Singh, 2019). This includes clarifying the distinction between deceased and living organ donation, detailing the risks and benefits associated with the procedure and ensuring that the patient or their family fully comprehends their right to refuse or withdraw consent at any point (Swaminathan, 2019).

The interplay between hospital accountability and informed consent is further complicated by the scarcity of organs available for transplantation and the dominance of live donor

transplants, frequently conducted within the private sector (Nagral et al., 2015). The inadequate supply of organs from deceased donors, compounded by infrastructure gaps and expertise limitations in public hospitals, skews the organ transplantation landscape (Shroff & Gill, 2021). Due to the low availability of organs from brain-dead individuals, the primary source of organ transplantation involves related or unrelated living donors.

This chapter explores the intersection of these critical issues i.e. hospital accountability, the ethics surrounding informed consent and the broader context of organ transplantation, within the Indian legal and healthcare frameworks. It rigorously assesses the current legal structures, institutional functions, ethical standards and policy deficiencies that influence organ transplantation practices in India. Through an amalgamation of legal analysis, case studies and ethical exploration, this chapter seeks to evaluate how existing governance frameworks impact the rights of patients and donors and how modifications may be implemented to better align with constitutional values, human dignity and medical integrity. (Sharma & Joshi, 2020; National Bioethics Committee, 2022)

2. Legal Framework Governing Organ Transplantation in India

The Transplantation of Human Organs and Tissues Act (THOTA), established in 1994, sets forth the legal structure for organ donation and transplantation within India. Its primary objective is to regulate the removal, preservation and transplantation of human organs and tissues, as well as to combat the illegal trade in organs. The Act specifies the procedure for granting consent for donations, outlines the responsibilities of hospitals and transplant centres and includes measures to avoid misuse and exploitation.

The key feature of THOTA is its regulatory framework, which includes Authorization Committees responsible for confirming the legality of organ donations, particularly in cases where there is no relation between donors and recipients. This system is designed to safeguard vulnerable people from coercion, ensuring that donations are made voluntarily and with a full understanding of the implications. Additionally, THOTA enforces stringent penalties for illegal organ trade, highlighting the government's commitment to combat organ trafficking.

Although, THOTA represents a significant development in the regulation of organ transplants, its effectiveness is often compromised by inadequate implementation, poor infrastructure and low public awareness. Disparity in enforcement across different states, combined with a lack of trained professionals and coordinators, further complicates the state of affairs. Amendments have been introduced to tackle these challenges, such as advocating for deceased donations and establishing the National Organ and Tissue Removal Organization, yet continuous efforts are necessary to enhance accessibility and maintain ethical standards.

To address the shortage of organs and promote ethical practices, law was proposed to be amended. Nevertheless, challenges in executing these amendments persist, underscoring the necessity to evaluate the law's effectiveness and its application in practice. The Transplantation of Human Organs and Tissues (Amendment) Act, 2011 provided clarity on the definition of brain death, identifying it as an irreversible cessation of all brain activity. This legal definition aids in making informed decisions regarding organ donation and mitigates ethical ambiguity, particularly in cases involving donors who are brain dead (Singh, 2019).

The discrepancy between law and practice highlights the importance of assessing the actual effectiveness of THOTA, especially with the ongoing challenges in its implementation. The amendments introduced in 2011 officially acknowledges deceased organ donation, which involves obtaining organs from brain-dead patients and aims to make the process more simple. Nevertheless, the gap between the law's objectives and its actual impact continues to be a concern. Strengthening enforcement is essential to combat organ trafficking and guarantee equitable access to transplants for all (Bessone, 2024).

The National Organ and Tissue Transplant Organization (NOTTO), formed in 2014, is crucial in managing organ donations and ensuring fair distribution. Operating under the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, it is tasked with maintaining a centralized database of organ donors and recipients, which aids in matching organs based on compatibility and urgency. The National Organ and Tissue Transplant Organization serves as the highest authority for co-ordinating and networking organ and tissue procurement and distribution activities throughout India (Choudhary et al., 2022). NOTTO plays a crucial role in overseeing the allocation of organs across the country and promoting organ donation through public awareness campaigns (Saidi & Kenari, 2014). The establishment of NOTTO represents a substantial stride towards establishing a more organized and transparent organ transplantation system in India, aiming to reduce inequities in access and improve overall efficiency (Shroff, 2009).

Despite the regulations set by THOTA, enforcement is inconsistent due to challenges such as a lack of awareness, insufficient resources and the under-reporting of brain death cases (Mishra, 2021). The disparity between legislation and actual practice underscores the necessity to evaluate the effectiveness of the Act in real-world situations. Strengthening its implementation is crucial in combating organ trafficking and guaranteeing equitable access to transplants. In addition to infrastructure and enforcement, it is essential to tackle socio-cultural and ethical elements like religious beliefs, family dynamics, and misconceptions that influence individuals' perceptions of organ donation in India (Looze, 2013).

Judicial precedents have also significantly influenced the legal landscape of organ transplantation in India. In the case of (*Samira Kohli v. Dr. Prabha Manchanda & Ors.*⁽¹⁾), the court highlighted the necessity of hospitals complying with the ethical and legal standards set by THOTA. The Supreme Court's verdict accentuated the importance of transparency in the organ transplantation process and the essential role of informed consent in ensuring that organ donation is voluntary and free from coercion.

India faces significant challenges in organ transplantation despite having established legal frameworks. A major problem is the uneven implementation across different states, while some have effective systems, others are bogged down by bureaucratic and logistical obstacles. Cultural and religious hesitancy surrounding donation further exacerbates the persistent gap between the supply and demand for organs (Chopra, 2019).

Annually, India conducts approximately 3,500–4,000 kidney and 150–200 liver transplants, emphasizing the necessity to reevaluate incentive-driven donation methods to boost organ availability and combat illegal transactions (Mathiharan, 2011). Additionally, the current laws inadequately address cross-border transplants, where issues of consent, allocation, and oversight introduce complex ethical and legal dilemmas. Although kidney transplants began in India during 1970s have seen growth, access remains limited for majority of the population (Nagral & Amalorpavanathan, 2014).

To address these challenges, it is essential to pursue legislative reforms, enhance public awareness initiatives and build more robust infrastructure. Stricter regulatory oversight is necessary to deter illegal trafficking and promote ethical practices. Moreover, Governments need to invest in the training and development of professional networks to support sustainable transplant systems (White et al., 2014).

The issue of illegal organ trade poses a serious threat, with unregulated clinics and insufficient law enforcement, thus facilitating exploitation. Absence of a national donor registry hampers effective monitoring, promoting the proliferation of underground markets that often prey on vulnerable populations, such as the impoverished and marginalized. Brokers concentrate not on health outcomes but rather on exploiting those in desperate circumstances, thereby exacerbating health disparities (Brahmbhatt, 2020; Rheeder, 2017).

3. Hospital Accountability in Organ Transplantation

Hospitals play a crucial role in the organ transplantation system, not just by providing medical treatment but also by maintaining legal, ethical, and procedural standards to guarantee

1. AIR 2008 SC 1385.

transparency, equity, and safety. Their responsibility encompasses legal obligations, ethical commitments, and adherence to administrative regulations.

The accountability of hospitals involves ensuring ethical, transparent, and equitable practices throughout the processes of organ procurement, allocation, and transplantation. Institutions are required to adhere to rigorous protocols from evaluating donors to post-operative care that align with national and international standards. These protocols should adapt in response to advancements in medicine and evolving ethical considerations. Keeping transparent records of donors and recipients is essential for enabling audits, addressing complaints, and fostering public trust (Alowidi et al., 2024).

According to the Transplantation of Human Organs and Tissues Act (THOTA), 1994, hospitals must be registered as authorized centers for organ transplantation. This stipulation guarantees that only those hospitals that are adequately equipped and ethically managed can carry out such procedures (THOTA, 1994). Hospitals are required to keep comprehensive records of data related to donors and recipients, consent documents, medical examinations, and outcomes, all of which are subject to scrutiny. Failure to comply may attract penalties, which could include suspension or criminal prosecution (Mishra, 2021).

Nevertheless, adherence to these regulations often proves to be inadequate. Investigations into illegal organ trading such as the 2008 Gurgaon kidney scandal have unveiled significant deficiency in hospital oversight. Unauthorized transplants were conducted in private clinics, and documentation and consent were frequently lacking, exposing major gaps in regulatory enforcement (Chopra, 2019). Such events raise questions about the capability of hospitals to self-regulate effectively without stringent external oversight.

Accountability also encompasses the necessity of informed consent, which is a fundamental legal and ethical requirement for all medical procedures. Hospitals need to guarantee that both donors and recipients clearly comprehend the nature, risks, and implications of transplantation. However, consent forms are frequently signed without adequate explanations or in languages that are not understood by the signers. Financial incentives or emotional coercion, particularly affecting those who are economically disadvantaged, may compromise true consent (Singh, 2019; Kohli, 2017). In such instances, hospitals could be considered complicit due to either negligence or active participation.

From a legal standpoint, hospitals can be held responsible for the conduct of their personnel, which includes doctors, coordinators, and administrators. Indian courts have recognized this principle in cases of medical negligence, and similar reasoning, such as the *Bolamtest*, might be relevant for transplants, even though specific legal precedents are still developing (Sharma & Joshi, 2020).

In addition to their legal responsibilities, hospitals have an ethical obligation to uphold fairness and transparency. They should establish ethical review boards and adhere to both national and international ethical standards. The WHO's Guiding Principles emphasize the importance of fairness, transparency, and prohibiting commercial organ trade. Nonetheless, Indian hospitals, particularly those in the private sector, are often criticized for preferring affluent recipients and lacking transparency, thereby breaching the principle of distributive justice (National Bioethics Committee, 2022).

Ineffective independent audits and the lack of mechanisms for patients to voice grievances further diminish accountability. The inconsistent application of the Clinical Establishments Act, 2010, across various states contributes to a disjointed regulatory framework, enabling malpractice to continue, especially in the private sector (Brahmbhatt, 2020).

Thus, although the legal system assigns a definite responsibility to hospitals to function ethically, the implementation of these regulations is inconsistent, and ethical violations continue to occur. Enhancing hospital responsibility in organ transplantation necessitates a blend of rigorous regulatory oversight, public accountability, ethical standards among professionals and strong legal consequences. Only by employing these diverse strategies, can hospitals genuinely fulfil their duty as protectors of both medical ethics and human dignity.

4. Ethical Dilemmas and Cultural Challenges

Organ transplantation in India represents a significant medical breakthrough that saves lives, yet it also brings a range of ethical and cultural dilemmas. These challenges extend beyond the medical sphere, raising crucial issues of consent, equity, commercialization and cultural perspectives, particularly in a country as diverse as India.

A prominent ethical issue is the continuing commercialization of organ donation. Despite the legal prohibitions outlined in the Transplantation of Human Organs and Tissues Act (THOTA) of 1994, illegal organ trafficking remains prevalent. Individuals from impoverished backgrounds, often driven by desperation, are especially susceptible. Even when donations are termed "altruistic," they may be influenced by underlying pressures or financial hardships (Chopra, 2019; Kumar, 2020).

Equity in organ distribution is another significant concern. In reality, wealthier patients in urban settings often receive transplants more quickly than those from rural or disadvantaged communities. This disparity contradicts the principle of distributive justice, which advocates for equitable access to healthcare. Private hospitals, where the majority of transplants take place, are often criticized for prioritizing those who can afford to pay over individuals with urgent medical requirements (Brahmbhatt, 2020).

Cultural and religious values heavily influence perceptions of donation. In numerous rural communities, individuals are reluctant to donate organs due to religious prohibitions, fears of bodily alteration or beliefs linked to reincarnation (Rao & Menon, 2018). Although major religions do not oppose organ donation, widespread myths and a lack of knowledge hinder progress. To enhance acceptance, outreach endeavors need to be culturally attuned and involve local communities.

A particularly delicate issue is organ donation from brain-dead individuals. Even though brain death is legally acknowledged in India, public comprehension of the concept remains limited. Families frequently find it difficult to understand the idea and may decline donation due to grief or confusion. This leads to lost opportunities and raises ethical questions about how hospitals communicate during these emotionally charged situations (ICMR, 2018).

Hospital ethics committees are intended to facilitate decision-making in challenging cases; however, in many institutions, they function more as a formality than a solution. These committees often focus on fulfilling regulatory requirements rather than engaging with deeper ethical issues. There is an increasing need to make ethical governance meaningful by including not only physicians but also ethicists, legal professionals, patient advocates, and community representatives (Sharma & Joshi, 2020).

Looking forward, emerging technologies such as xenotransplantation (the use of animal organs) and 3D-printed organs are introducing new ethical considerations regarding human identity, animal rights, and the boundaries of scientific advancement. While these innovations are still in the early stages in India, it is essential to engage in proactive ethical discussions to inform future regulations (Patel, 2021). Ultimately, ethical organ transplantation in India requires more than just medical knowledge; it necessitates fairness, cultural awareness, and a sincere dedication to informed, compassionate care.

To sum up, the ethical issues surrounding organ transplantation are intricately woven into India's socio-cultural landscape. There is a need for ethical frameworks that are sensitive to this context and that move beyond universal medical ethics to align with local circumstances. Collaborating with community leaders, religious figures and grassroots healthcare practitioners, is just as crucial as implementing institutional changes. It is only through this comprehensive approach that we can ensure the practice of organ transplantation is aligned with the principles of dignity, equity and justice.

5. Medical Governance and Regulatory Challenges in Organ Transplantation

Effective medical governance is crucial for the ethical and efficient functioning of organ transplantation programs in India. Yet, considerable gaps persist in policy implementation, regulatory oversight and accountability mechanisms. The legal framework in India that

regulates organ transplantation is primarily founded on the Transplantation of Human Organs and Tissues Act (THOTA) of 1994 and its later amendments. While this legislation represented a crucial advancement in overseeing organ donations, particularly in preventing commercial transactions, it still demonstrates various gaps and ambiguities that weaken hospital accountability and the assurance of informed consent.

A significant issue is the uneven application of THOTA among different states. Despite the Act requiring the establishment of Authorization Committees and Hospital Authorization Committees to manage approvals for living donors and cadaveric donations, these entities frequently exhibit discrepancies in procedures, training and supervision. Consequently, the understanding of “voluntary” and “informed” consent is interpreted differently, creating opportunities for exploitation and procedural irregularities (Rathore & Ghosh, 2019).

Moreover, the mechanisms for monitoring and enforcement are inadequate. Although hospitals must ensure transparency through documentation and audits, adherence is inconsistent. The lack of real-time digital tracking systems complicates the ability to follow the entire donation-transplantation process, raising the risk of illegal trade and unethical practices (Kumar, 2020).

The definitions under the THOTA also create ambiguous situations. For instance, the term “near relative” is narrowly defined, restricting altruistic donations from extended family members or close non-biological connections. This limitation, intended to deter commercial transactions, has resulted in legitimate cases being rejected, pushing needy patients toward illegal networks. Additionally, while the penalties for infractions, including unauthorized organ removal or commercial dealings, appear strict on paper, they are seldom enforced due to prolonged investigation delays and low conviction rates (Sharma & Gupta, 2020).

Another major legal gap is the lack of public awareness and donor registry systems. Unlike many Western countries that maintain opt-in or opt-out digital registries, India does not have a centralized, easily accessible national database. This deficiency leads to the insufficient utilization of cadaveric donations and ineffective matching between donors and recipients. Despite government initiatives like the National Organ and Tissue Transplant Organization (NOTTO), collaboration among hospitals, state bodies and NGOs remain disjointed.

Legal scholars and public health experts have urged for thorough reforms — not just by revising existing laws, but by establishing accountability at all levels. This includes mandatory audio-video recordings of consent, standardized operating procedures (SOPs) for transplant assessments and enhanced protection for whistleblowers in the healthcare system.

To rectify these shortcomings, policymakers need to blend technology, clear legal definitions and ethical considerations. Legal reforms should shift towards a more patient-focused and

transparent transplantation system, ensuring that the tenets of autonomy, dignity and justice are upheld rather than sacrificed for procedural expediency.

6. Informed Consent: Ethical and Legal Perspectives

Informed consent is a key ethical and legal principle in contemporary medicine, representing both the rights of patients and the responsibilities of physicians. This concept is particularly vital in organ transplantation due to the invasive nature of the procedure, its irreversible results, and the emotional, social, and economic intricacies involved (Danovitch et al., 2013). Patients should receive comprehensive information regarding their condition, available treatment options, associated risks, benefits, and alternatives in order to make voluntary and informed choices (Swaminathan, 2019). Ethically, this principle is founded on concepts like Kantian autonomy and utilitarianism, which emphasize personal choice and overall well-being.

In the context of organ transplantation, informed consent is relevant for both donors and recipients, each encountering unique challenges. For living donors, it necessitates evaluating their physical and mental readiness, comprehending surgical risks, and recognizing potential emotional and social repercussions. It is crucial that donors retain the freedom to withdraw consent at any point without feeling pressured or facing negative consequences (Etchells et al., 1996).

According to both Indian and international regulations, consent is not merely a formality; it must involve a substantial, informed dialogue. The Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) states that valid consent should be provided voluntarily, free from coercion and by an individual who is thoroughly informed and capable of making decisions (ICMR, 2018).

Nonetheless, this process can be quite complex. Socioeconomic influences, familial relationships and emotional connections may sway decisions, particularly in developing nations. Donors might feel obliged to consent under emotional or financial pressure, casting doubt on the sincerity of their consent (Kohli, 2017; Singh, 2019).

The Transplantation of Human Organs and Tissues Act, 1994 (as amended) mandates that authorization committees ensure that consent, especially from unrelated donors, is given voluntarily and without any financial motive. These committees are intended to conduct thorough interviews and evaluations for each case. However, investigations have shown that these reviews can sometimes be superficial, permitting questionable consents to go unnoticed (Chopra, 2019).

In the context of cadaveric organ donation, obtaining informed consent involves the family of the deceased. Although 'presumed consent' has been a topic of debate in India, the

current legal framework adheres to the ‘express consent’ model, which necessitates obtaining consent from the deceased or their next of kin prior to their death. Unfortunately, a lack of awareness and misunderstanding surrounding brain death often results in lost opportunities for organ donation (Brahmbhatt, 2020).

Informed consent is equally significant for recipients, who need to be aware of the long-term effects of transplantation, including the requirements for immunosuppressive therapy, the risks associated with organ rejection, potential complications from surgery, and the impact on quality of life. However, language and literacy barriers create significant challenges in India. Consent forms are frequently written in English or use complex terminology that makes them difficult to understand. In urgent situations, consent might be quickly given, which raises ethical issues (Mishra, 2021).

The Supreme Court of India, in the case of *Samira Kohli*(2), established crucial principles for valid consent. It determined that patients should be made aware of their treatment, including its risks and alternatives, in language that is easy to comprehend. Although this case did not specifically address organ transplants, its principles have since influenced consent-related decisions.

To improve the transparency of consent, experts suggest implementing standardized methods such as digital forms, audio-video recordings, and involving third-party witnesses. Ethical guidelines also emphasize the need for training transplant coordinators and social workers to provide counseling that supports patients and families throughout the process. In circumstances where individuals are unable to give consent, such as children or those with cognitive impairments, legal guardians or healthcare proxies must act in the best interests of the patient (Johar, 2018).

Cultural and religious beliefs significantly influence people’s inclination to donate organs. While some religions support organ donation, others impose restrictions or oppose it altogether. In some Muslim communities, for example, a lack of trust in the healthcare system and reliance on the opinions of religious leaders can hinder consent (Ali et al., 2020). Establishing trust through culturally appropriate education and by involving religious leaders is essential (Padela & Duivenbode, 2018).

In conclusion, genuine informed consent in transplantation should be based on autonomy, trust, and transparency. To achieve this, it is necessary to implement not only legal protections but also enhancements in hospital practices, medical education, and public awareness. Without these improvements, consent risks becoming merely a formal procedure, rather than a truly meaningful decision.

2. AIR 2008 SC 1385.

7. Patient Rights and Access to Justice

In the field of organ transplantation, the rights of patients are not just secondary considerations, rather, they are fundamental to upholding dignity, autonomy and fairness in healthcare. These rights include the right to be fully informed, to provide or withhold consent, to receive fair treatment and to pursue justice when these rights are infringed. In India, despite constitutional safeguards and proactive judicial oversight, patients face significant barriers to justice, especially regarding critical medical procedures like organ transplants.

Article 21 of the Indian Constitution extends the right to life to encompass the right to health, as interpreted by the judiciary. In significant rulings such as *Paschim Banga Khet Mazdoor Samity*(3), the Supreme Court established that the Government has a constitutional duty to offer sufficient medical services to all citizens. However, in reality, inequalities in healthcare access, bureaucratic delays and systemic corruption undermine the practicality of these rights, particularly for marginalized patients engaged in the organ transplant process. The right to informed consent is one of the crucial issues at stake; when it is compromised, it leads to a loss of autonomy and bodily integrity. When patients or donors experience coercion, deception, or insufficient information, the procedure itself raises ethical and legal concerns. While the *Samira Kohli*(4) ruling underlined the necessity of informed consent, it also shifted the responsibility onto patients to demonstrate a lack of it, a daunting challenge in a system where hospitals control documentation and patient literacy may be limited.

Legal options for addressing breaches of patient rights theoretically exist through civil courts (for compensation), criminal courts (for medical negligence), consumer forums and medical councils. Nevertheless, procedural delays, the costs associated with litigation, limited legal awareness and the intimidation often felt by patients, when facing large hospital networks create substantial obstacles to obtaining justice. Furthermore, many organ transplant situations involve urgent medical needs, where rights are violated not due to intent but because of systemic shortcomings, complicating the establishment of accountability.

An increasing number of legal scholars advocate for the establishment of specialized health tribunals or expedited medical grievance resolution forums. These would facilitate quicker resolutions for complaints regarding informed consent failures, coercive donor practices and negligence related to transplants. Moreover, establishing legal aid cells in hospitals, especially in Government or transplant-certified facilities, could provide critical support for patients and their families, ensuring they have a voice during pivotal decision-making times. There's also a critical need to enhance institutional mechanisms such as hospital ethics committees, which currently often act more as procedural formalities than genuine protec-

3. AIR 1996 SC 2426.

4. AIR 2008 SC 1385.

tors of patient rights. These committees must be given the authority, training and accountability necessary to ensure that the decision-making process surrounding organ transplants is not merely compliant with procedures but is also ethically sound.

It is crucial to perceive patient rights not solely in clinical or legal terms but also as a human rights issue. Vulnerable populations, those from economically disadvantaged backgrounds, women and minorities, are frequently more severely impacted by systemic inadequacies. Achieving justice for these groups necessitates more than legal solutions; it calls for systemic reform, cultural awareness and proactive governmental involvement.

Ultimately, without strong protections for patient rights and accessible means of seeking justice, even the most well-meaning transplantation laws will fall short. The ethical and legal foundation of organ transplantation must prioritize the patient, not just as a recipient of care, but as an individual with rights that must be honored at every stage.

8. Conclusion, Suggestions and Recommendations

Organ transplantation exists at the intersection of medical science, ethics and legal considerations, a procedure that saves lives and requires not just medical accuracy but also a dedication to justice, transparency and compassion. In India, although legislative measures like the Transplantation of Human Organs and Tissues Act (THOTA) of 1994 have sought to establish ethical and legal protections, significant challenges remain. These challenges encompass shortcomings in hospital accountability, issues with ensuring true informed consent, weak enforcement of patient rights and insufficient access to justice for affected individuals.

The main issue is not solely the lack of laws but rather their uneven implementation and narrow application. Hospitals, whether public or private, are often influenced more by administrative ease and commercial interests than by ethical considerations. Informed consent, while legally required, is often regarded as a mere formality instead of a genuine process of communication and understanding. Donors and patients from vulnerable, socio-economically disadvantaged backgrounds are particularly at risk of coercion, misinformation and neglect.

In light of this context, a comprehensive reform strategy is vital to bring India's organ transplantation system in line with constitutional protections and international ethical standards. Also, there is a necessity for enhanced regulatory supervision, better training for healthcare professionals and increased public education to safeguard those involved in organ transplantation. Hospitals need to take on more responsibility regarding transparency and ethical issues to avert malpractice and unethical conduct, which erode public confidence in organ donation systems. Only by continuously working to improve legal structures,

enforce accountability and guarantee ethical practices, India can uphold the integrity of its organ transplant programs and protect the rights of its citizens. In comparison to the global scenario, the Indian Organ Transplant Act is comprehensive, as all organ transplants are carried out with proper consent and genetic verification.

9. Suggestions

9.1 For Hospitals

Digital Consent for Maintenance of Records: Hospitals should adopt a digital method for collecting and storing patient's consent, guaranteeing thus, that records are secure, accurate, and readily available.

Consent for Transplant Procedure: Patients must give explicit consent for transplant procedures during interviews, at both internal meetings and Government committee sessions, ensuring they make informed choices and receive legal protection.

Obtaining Services of Government-Approved Language Translators: Hospitals must be encouraged to hire certified language translators to help international patients and understand medical procedures, particularly regarding transplants, to promote clear communication and minimize the chances of misunderstandings.

Counsellor Member in Transplant Team: In pediatric transplant cases, it is crucial to include a counsellor in the transplant team. This guarantees that the emotional and psychological needs of the child are taken into account, during the decision-making process.

Braille Consent Forms for Visually Impaired Patients: Hospitals should provide Braille consent forms for individuals who are blind or visually impaired, whether they are donors or recipients, to ensure the independent review and informed consent of either donor or receiver.

Consent from Adolescents (14–16 years): Patients of age group 14 to 16 must be taken into confidence, as although, they are not legally adults, yet they are capable of understanding the transplant process. Hospitals should prepare specific consent forms and offer counselling to involve them in the decision-making process.

Launching Awareness Campaign on Informed Consent and Patient Rights: Hospitals should initiate awareness campaigns addressing patients, their families and the public, emphasizing upon the significance of informed consent, patient rights during transplantation and ethical issues related to transplants.

Training Healthcare Professionals: Healthcare professionals, especially those involved in transplant teams, should participate in ongoing training regarding ethical transplantation practices and the importance of securing proper informed consent to ensure patient autonomy and safety.

9.2 For Government

Establishing Regulatory Body for Audits: The Government should establish a dedicated regulatory body to supervise transplant practices in hospitals. It must be tasked with performing regular and surprise audits to ensure adherence to ethical and legal norms.

Surprise Audits: In order to uphold high standards of care and ethical practices, surprise audits should be carried out at all hospitals, ensuring compliance with best practices and regulatory requirements, particularly with respect to the informed consent.

Multi-Lingual Transplant Consent Forms: The Government must mandate all hospitals providing transplant services, to make available multi-lingual consent forms, ensuring accessibility for patients from diverse linguistic backgrounds. Further, Uniform consent forms are needed across all states and hospitals in India.

Organ Specific Consent Forms for Different Organ Transplants: Government should promote the use of distinct consent forms for various transplants, including those for liver, kidney or heart transplants, to ensure that patients fully understand the particulars of each procedure and that consent is specific to the organ being transplanted.

10. Recommendations

Strengthening Informed Consent Procedures: Mandatory requirement for the audio-video recording of the consent process in organ donation and transplantation to ensure both voluntariness and comprehension. Medical personnel should receive training in ethical communication and culturally appropriate counselling.

Improvement in Hospital Accountability Structures: Make ethics committees more diverse and autonomous. Their operations should undergo regular audits by state-level bodies. Additionally, hospitals should be required to publish annual transplant audits to enhance transparency.

Revise Regulatory Frameworks: Amend THOTA to broaden the definition of 'near relative', clarify procedural uncertainties and impose stricter penalties for non-compliance by institutions. Also, to facilitate digital registries and real-time tracking of transplants through centralized platforms such as NOTTO.

Enhance Fair Access to Organs: Guarantee that socio-economic status does not affect organ allocation. Implement region-specific quotas or weighting systems to enhance access for underprivileged communities.

Create Specialized Health Tribunals: Establish expedited judicial bodies to handle medical disputes, especially those related to organ transplants. These tribunals should involve legal, medical and ethical experts and be accessible throughout different states.

Equip Patients and Donors with Legal Knowledge: Initiate community outreach initiatives in collaboration with NGOs and legal aid organizations to inform individuals about donor rights, consent regulations and grievance redressal systems.

Institutionalize an Ethical Focus in Medical Education: Incorporate coursework on bioethics, patient rights and transplant law into medical and nursing education programs. Encourage training that promotes reflection, sensitizing healthcare professionals to the socio-legal aspects of their responsibilities.

To conclude, organ transplantation in India needs to transition from a purely technical focus on a rights-based and patient-centred approach. By embedding accountability, transparency and justice at each phase, from donor identification to post-operative care, we can uphold both the sanctity of life and the dignity of those who facilitate these life-saving interventions.

References

1. Aksoy, S. (2001). A Critical Approach to the Current Understanding of Islamic Scholars on Using Cadaver Organs Without Prior Permission. *Bioethics*, 15, 461. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8519.00254>, last accessed April 2025.
2. Ali, A., Ahmed, T., Ali, A., Dano, S., Khalid, M., El-Dassouki, N., Orchanian-Cheff, A., Alibhai, S. M. H. & Mucsi, I. (2020). Organ donation and transplant: The Islamic perspective [Review of Organ donation and transplant: The Islamic perspective]. *Clinical Transplantation*, 34(4). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ctr.13832>, last accessed May 2025.
3. Alowidi, N., Ali, R., Sadaqah, M. & Naemi, F. M. A. (2024). Advancing Kidney Transplantation: A Machine Learning Approach to Enhance Donor–Recipient Matching. *Diagnostics*, 14(19), 2119. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics14192119>, last accessed May 2025.
4. Bessone, F. H. (2024). Seminars in Liver Disease. *Seminars in Liver Disease*. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-00000069>, last accessed April 2025.

5. Brahmabhatt, R. (2020). Healthcare accountability in India: A framework for better governance. *Indian Law Journal*, 45(3), 212-227.
6. The Clinical Establishments (Registration and Regulation) Act, 2010, Act No. 23 of 2010.
7. Chopra, S. (2019). Illegal organ trade and the need for reform. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 56(4), 188-195.
8. Choudhary, N. S., Bhangui, P. & Soin, A. S. (2022). Liver Transplant Outcomes in India [Review of Liver Transplant Outcomes in India]. *Clinical Liver Disease*, 19(1), 32. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cld.1166>, last accessed May 2025.
9. Danovitch, G. M., Chapman, J. R., Capron, A. M., Levin, A., Abbud-Filho, M., Mousawi, M. A., Bennett, W. M., Budiani-Saberi, D., Couser, W., Dittmer, I., Jha, V., Lavee, J., Martin, D., Masri, M., Naicker, S., Takahara, S., Tibell, A., Shaheen, F. A., Vathsala, A. & Delmonico, F. L. (2013). Organ Trafficking and Transplant Tourism. *Transplantation*, 95(11), 1306. <https://doi.org/10.1097/tp.0b013e318295ee7d>, last accessed May 2025.
10. Etchells, E., Sharpe, G., Walsh, P. N., Williams, J. R. & Singer, P. (1996). Bioethics for clinicians: 1. Consent. *Pub Med*, 155(2), 177. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8800075>, last accessed May 2025.
11. Gupta S. & Patel A. (2018) The ethics of organ transplantation in India. *Indian Journal of Medical Ethics*, 21(2), 72-78.
12. Indian Council of Medical Research. (2018). National Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical and Health Research Involving Human Participants. ICMR Publication.
13. Johar, 2018; Navtej Singh Johar v. Union Of India, AIR 2018 SC 4321.
14. Kohli, S. (2017). Informed consent in Indian healthcare: A legal and ethical challenge. *Indian Law Review*, 32(1), 45-58.
15. Kumar, P. (2020). Organ trade in India: A socio-legal analysis. *Journal of Human Rights and Ethics*, 29(3), 181-194.
16. Lewis, J. A. & Gardiner, D. (2023). Ethical and legal issues associated with organ donation and transplantation. *Surgery (Oxford)*, 41(9), 552. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mpsur.2023.06.010>, last accessed May 2025.
17. Looze, K. D. (2013). Interweaving fragments of ethical publicity and ethical resistance: the quest for cadaver organs in India. *Contemporary South Asia*, 21(3), 304. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09584935.2013.826629>, last accessed May 2025.

18. Mathiharan, K. (2011). Ethical and legal issues in organ transplantation: Indian scenario. *Medicine Science and the Law*, 51(3), 134. <https://doi.org/10.1258/msl.2011.010134>, last accessed April 2025.
19. Mishra, V. (2021), Challenges in hospital accountability and organ transplantation. *Healthcare Law Review*, 28(2), 134-146.
20. Muraleedharan, V. R., Jan, S.& Prasad, S. R. (2005). The trade in human organs in Tamil Nadu: the anatomy of regulatory failure. *Health Economics Policy and Law*, 1(1), 41. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1744133105001052>, last accessed April 2025.
21. Nagral, S.&Amalorpavanathan, J. (2014). Deceased organ donation in India: Where do we go from here? *Indian Journal of Medical Ethics*. <https://doi.org/10.20529/ijme.2014.043>, last accessed May 2025.
22. Nagral, S., Nanavati, A.&Nagral, A. (2015). Liver Transplantation in India: At the Crossroads (Review of Liver Transplantation in India: At the Crossroads). *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Hepatology*, 5(4), 329. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jceh.2015.11.001>, last accessed May 2025.
23. National Bioethics Committee. (2022). Report on Ethical Guidelines for Organ Transplantation in India. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.
24. Padela, A. I. & Duivenbode, R. (2018). The ethics of organ donation, donation after circulatory determination of death and xenotransplantation from an Islamic perspective [Review of The ethics of organ donation, donation after circulatory determination of death and xenotransplantation from an Islamic perspective]. *Xenotransplantation*, 25(3), 1. Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1111/xen.12421>, last accessed May 2025.
25. Patel, M. (2021). Emerging bioethical challenges in transplantation medicine. *Bioethics Review*, 12(2), 115–128.
26. Paschim Banga Khet Mazdoor Samity v. State of West Bengal, AIR 1996 SC 2426.
27. Rao, D. & Menon, A. (2018). Cultural perspectives on organ donation in India. *International Journal of Social Health*, 40(2), 66–73.
28. Rathore, S. & Ghosh, T. (2019). Governance and loopholes in India's organ transplantation system. *Law and Society Review*, 34(2), 140–155.
29. Rheeder, R. (2017). A global bioethical perspective on organ trafficking: Discrimination, stigmatization and the vulnerable. *South African Journal of Bioethics and Law*, 10(1), 20. <https://doi.org/10.7196/sajbl.528>, last accessed May 2025.

30. Saidi, R. F. & Kenari, S. K. H. (2014). Challenges of organ shortage for transplantation: solutions and opportunities. *PubMed*, 5(3), 87. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25184029>, last accessed May 2025.
31. Samira Kohli v. Dr. Prabha Manchanda & Ors. AIR 2008 SC 1385.
32. Sharma, R. & Joshi, R. (2020). Organ transplantation and patient rights in India. *Bioethics Journal*, 38(4), 159-173.
33. Shroff, S. (2009). Legal and ethical aspects of organ donation and transplantation. *Indian Journal of Urology*, 25(3), 348. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-1591.56203>, last accessed May 2025.
34. Shroff, S. & Gill, J. S. (2021). Bold policy changes are needed to meet the need for organ transplantation in India [Review of Bold policy changes are needed to meet the need for organ transplantation in India]. *American Journal of Transplantation*, 21(9), 2933. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajt.16537>, last accessed May 2025.
35. Singh, R. (2019). Consent in organ transplantation: Ethical and legal dilemmas in India. *Journal of Law and Ethics*, 40(1), 99-115.
36. Swaminathan, G. R. (2019). *Arunkumar v. The Inspector General of Registration*.
37. THOTA, 1994. The Transplantation of Human Organs and Tissues Act, 1994, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.
38. White, S. L., Hirth, R. A., Mahillo, B., Domínguez-Gil, B., Delmonico, F. L., Noël, L., Chapman, J. R., Matesanz, R., Carmona, M., Benito, M. Á., Álvarez, J. R. N. & Leichtman, A. B. (2014). The global diffusion of organ transplantation: trends, drivers and policy implications. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 92(11), 826. <https://doi.org/10.2471/blt.14.137653>, last accessed May 2025.



AIR

*The Treasure House
of Case Laws Since 1914*

www.aironline.in



9 788199 075719

ISBN: 9788199075719