

TILAK MAHARASHTRA VIDYAPEETH, PUNE
BACHELOR OF LAWS (LL.B.) (Three Years Semester Pattern)/
B.A. LL.B. (ACADEMIC LAW)
(FIVE YEARS SEMESTER PATTERN) CREDIT SYSTEM
EXAMINATION : MAY - 2025
FIRST/FIFTH SEMESTER
Sub.: Law of Crimes (LW-103/5003)

Date : 15/05/2025	Total Marks : 60	Time: 10.00 am to 12.30 pm
--------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------------

Instructions: 1) All questions are compulsory.
2) Figure indicate to the right full marks.

Q. 1. Answer the following question. (15)

A) "Discuss the extent and limitations of the right of private defense of body and property . Under what circumstances does the law justify causing death in the exercise of this right?"

OR

B) "Analyze the offence of murder as defined under criminal law. Discuss its essential elements, the distinction between murder and culpable homicide, and the legal consequences prescribed for the offence.

Q. 2. Answer the following question. (15)

A) "Discuss the legal framework of rape under the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS). How does the law differentiate between rape and custodian rape? Analyze the rationale behind stricter punishments for custodial rape."

OR

B) Differentiate between house trespass and housebreaking . In what circumstances does house breaking become a more serious offence?

Q. 3. Write Short Notes. (any four) (20)

- a) Stages of crime
- b) Offence of causing miscarriage
- c) Offence related to Marriage
- d) Kidnapping
- e) Grievous Hurt
- f) Types of Punishment

Q. 4. Answer the following questions. (10)

A) Rohan enters his neighbor's fenced garden without permission, despite repeated warnings to stay out. He does not cause any damage but refuses to leave when asked. Later, he claims he had no bad intentions and was just taking a shortcut.

1. Does Rohan's act constitute criminal trespass? Explain with reasons.
2. Does the absence of damage or wrongful intent affect his liability? Discuss with relevant provisions.

OR

B) Vikas repeatedly provokes his friend Aryan to set fire to their employer's warehouse, assuring him that he will not get caught. After constant pressure, Aryan finally commits the act. Later, Vikas claims he did not commit the crime himself.

1. Does Vikas's act amount to abetment? Explain with reasons.
2. Can a person be held liable for abetment even if they did not directly commit the offence? Discuss with relevant provisions.